PARK VISITOR AND TRAIL USE SURVEY, 1982 FUNDY NATIONAL PARK

Summary Report

Prepared for:
Parks Canada
Atlantic Region

Prepared by:
DPA Consulting Ltd.
Halifax
March 1983

ERRATA

UNFORTUNATELY, SOME TYPING ERRORS APPEARED IN THE TABLES & TEXT. WE APOLOGIZE FOR THE INCONVENIENCE AND SUGGEST THAT YOU RECTIFY THE FOLLOWING.

ABBREVIATIONS MEANING:

P - Page
L - Line
* - within * statement

LOCATION	READ	IN PLACE OF
Attached: Revised- List Of Tables		
P ..i.. L 2	Region	Rregion
L 4	Section	Station
P ..ii.. L 13	visitor	visitsor
P ..2.. L 19 to L 20 Table - Column 5	(spacing out of range)	(spacing)
P ..4.. Figure 1	18,081	18.081
	115,190	115.190
	51,755	51.755
P ..9.. Table: Column 1	Percent	%
P ..11..	(spacing out of range)	(spacing)
P ..12.. Table: Column 1	(13-19 yrs)	(13-19)
P ..14..	(13-19 yrs)	(13-19)
P ..14.. P #	..14..	No page number
Table:	delete (% of Base)	(% of Base)
Column 1	delete (% of Base)	(% of Base)
Column 4	45.0	45,0
P ..15.. L 2	is	IS
Table: Column 1	United States	U.S.A.
P ..16.. L 10	visiting	visitng
L 16	National	national
P ..17.. P #	..17..	No page number
Table: Column 1	United States	USA
P ..18.. L 16	DAY USERS	day users
P ..20.. P #	..20..	No page number
P ..22.. P#	..22..	No page number
Table: Footnote	one	1
	**	(omitted)
L 5	campgrounds	campbrounds
P ..24.. Table: L 15	Bennett	Bennet
Text: L 6	Bennett	Bennette
P ..25.. P #	..25..	No page number
Table: Column 1	Other New Brunswick	Other N.B.
Column 1	United States	USA
Column 3	Bennett	Bennette
Column 10	Coppermine	Copper Mine
*	3 percent	3%
P ..26.. L 3	Dickson	Dixon
L 11	Dickson	Dixon
L 20	Devil's	Devils
P ..27.. P #	..27..	No page number
Table: Column 13	Caribou	Caribu
P ..28.. Table	Herring Cove (Tidal Walk) Interpretive Program	Herring cove (Tidal Walk) Interpretive Program
P ..29.. L 6	OVERNIGHT	Overnight
P ..30.. L 6	Ninety-eight	Ninety eight
P ..31.. L 5	"scenery"	scenery
L 7	"recreational facilities"	recreational facilities
L 7	"previous visit"	previous visit
Table: L 12	Percent of Base*	Percent of Base
*	Percent	%
**	Percent	%
P ..32.. L 6	Local Region	local region
L 29	consistent	consistant
P ..33.. L 3	facilities	facilitein
P ..40.. Table: Column 5	Third Vault Falls	Third Vault
P ..50.. L 12	This	this

LIST OF TABLES

		PAGE
Table A:	Estimate of Vehicle Type Breakdown of Existing Vehicles (July 5 - Sept 7, 1982)	2
Table B:	Percentage of Breakdown of Traffic For 8AM to 8PM (July 5 Through Sept 7, 1982)	3
Table 1:	Origin of Park Visitors	6
Table 2:	Month of Visit	7
Table 3:	Weekday-Weekend Visit Pattern	8
Table 4:	Mode of Travel	9
Table 5:	Park Visitor Types	10
Table 6:	Average Length of Stay	11
Table 7:	Average Party Size	11
Table 8:	Age Categories of Park Visitors	12
Table 9:	Composition of User Parties	14
Table 10:	Average User Party Size	15
Table 11:	Reason For Visit	16
Table 12:	Specific Reason By Origin of User Type	17
Table 13:	First or Repeat Visit of Users	18
Table 14:	Length of Stay By User Type	19
Table 15:	Month of Visit and Language of Interview of Users	20
Table 16:	Mother Tongue By User Type	21
Table 17:	Accommodation Used By Overnight Users	22
Table 18:	Average Length of Stay In Accommodation Type	23
Table 19:	Use of Facilities By User Type	24
Table 20:	Use of Facilities/Services By Origin of User	25
Table 21:	Usage of Interpretive Facilities By User Type	26
Table 22:	User of Interpretive Facilities By Origin of User	27
Table 23:	Interpretive Program By User Type	28
Table 24:	Origin of User of Interpretive Programs	29
Table 25:	Used Alma Facilities by User Type	29
Table 26:	Alma Facility Use By Origin of Alma User	30
Table 27:	Park Attraction By Origin of User	31
Table 28:	Rating of Park's Fee Structure of Facilities/Services	32
Table 29:	Rating of Quality of Park's Facilities/ Services	33
Table 30:	Awareness of Park Newsletter by Origin	34
Table 31:	Readership of Park Newsletter Among Parties Aware of Park Newsletters	34
Table 32:	Origin By New Information From Newsletter	35
Table 33:	Readers Willing to Pay For Park Newsletter	36
Table 34:	Readers Willing to Subscribe to Park Newsletter	36
Table 35:	Read Interpretive Publications	37
Table 36:	Origin of Reader Parties of Interpretive Publications	37
Table 37:	Origin and Language of Interview of Trail User	39
Table 38:	Average Hiking Party Size By Origin And Trail	40
Table 39:	Stayed Overnight in Park By Origin	40
Table 40:	Park Accommodation Type Used	41
Table 41:	First or Repeat Visit to Fundy	42
Table 42:	First Time User of Trail	42
Table 43:	Reason Hiked Trail	43
Table 44:	Average Length of Hiking Time	44
Table 45:	Walked Trail to End	44
Table 46:	Reason For Not Walking Trail To End	45
Table 47:	Signs Read on Devil's Half Acre Trail	46
Table 48:	Observation of Slag Heap - Coppermine Trail	46
Table 49:	Viewed Coast Line - Coppermine Trail	47

PAGE

Table 50: Average Number of Signs Read - East Branch Trail 47
Table 51: Viewed Old Log Driving Dam - East Branch Trail 48
Table 52: Returned On Old Road Way - East Branch Trail 48
Table 53: Viewed Water Falls - Third Vault Falls Trail 49
Table 54: Viewed Old Log Driving Dam - Third Vault Falls Trail 49
Table 55: Rating of Trail Difficulty 50
Table 56: Rating of Trail And Completing Trail 50
Table 57: Obtained Background Information On Trail 51
Table 58: Principal Source of Background Information By Trail 51
Table 59: Other Trails Hiked By First Visit To Park 52
Table 60: Mother Tongue of Trail User 52

PAGE

Table 50: Average Number of Signs Read - East Branch Trail 47
Table 51: Viewed Old Log Driving Dam - East Branch Trail 48
Table 52: Returned On Old Road Way - East Branch Trail 48
Table 53: Viewed Water Falls - Third Vault Falls Trail 49
Table 54: Viewed Old Log Driving Dam - Third Vault Falls Trail 49
Table 55: Rating of Trail Difficulty 50
Table 56: Rating of Trail And Completing Trail 50
Table 57: Obtained Background Information On Trail 51
Table 58: Principal Source of Background Information By Trail 51
Table 59: Other Trails Hiked By First Visit To Park 52
Table 60: Mother Tongue of Trail User 52

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		PAGE
PREFACE		i
SECTION A: TRAFFIC PARTITION SURVEY		
I.	INTRODUCTION	1
Table A:	Estimate of Vehicle Type Breakdown of Existing Vehicles (July 5 - Sept 7, 1982)	2
Table B:	Percentage of Breakdown of Traffic For 8AM to 8PM (July 5 Through Sept 7, 1982)	3
SECTION B: PARK VISITOR SURVEY		
I.	INTRODUCTION	4
II.	PARK VISITORS	6
Table 1:	Origin of Park Visitors	6
Table 2:	Month of Visit	6
Table 3:	Weekday-Weekend Visit Pattern	7
Table 4:	Mode of Travel	8
Table 5:	Park Visitor Types	9
Table 6:	Average Length of Stay	10
Table 7:	Average Party Size	11
Table 8:	Age Categories of Park Visitors	11
III	OVERNIGHT AND DAY USERS	13
Table 9:	Composition of User Parties	13
Table 10:	Average User Party Size	15
Table 11:	Reason For Visit	15
Table 12:	Specific Reason By Origin of User Type	16
Table 13:	First or Repeat Visit of Users	18
Table 14:	Length of Stay By User Type	18
Table 15:	Month of Visit and Language of Interview of Users	19
Table 16:	Mother Tongue By User Type	21
Table 17:	Accommodation Used By Overnight Users	21
Table 18:	Average Length of Stay In Accommodation Type	23
Table 19:	Use of Facilities By User Type	23
Table 20:	Use of Facilities/Services By Origin of User	24
Table 21:	Usage of Interpretive Facilities By User Type	26
Table 22:	User of Interpretive Facilities By Origin of User	26
Table 23:	Interpretive Program By User Type	28
Table 24:	Origin of User of Interpretive Programs	28
Table 25:	Used Alma Facilities by User Type	29
Table 26:	Alma Facility Use By Origin of Alma User	30
IV	PARK ATTRACTION - UNWEIGHTED RESPONSES	31
Table 27:	Park Attraction By Origin of User	31
V	RATING OF FACILITIES/SERVICES	32
Table 28:	Rating of Park's Fee Structure of Facilities/Services	32
Table 29:	Rating of Quality of Park's Facilities/ Services	32
VI	PARK NEWSLETTER	33
Table 30:	Awareness of Park Newsletter by Origin	33
Table 31:	Readership of Park Newsletter Among Parties Aware of Park Newsletters	34

PAGE

Table 32: Origin By New Information From Newsletter 35
Table 33: Readers Willing to Pay For Park Newsletter 35
Table 34: Readers Willing to Subscribe to Park Newsletter 36

VII INTERPRETIVE PUBLICATION READERSHIP 37

Table 35: Read Interpretive Publications 37
Table 36: Origin of Reader Parties of Interpretive Publications 37

SECTION C: TRAIL USER SURVEY

I INTRODUCTION 38

II TRAIL USERS 39

Table 37: Origin and Language of Interview of Trail User 39
Table 38: Average Hiking Party Size By Origin And Trail 39
Table 39: Stayed Overnight in Park By Origin 40
Table 40: Park Accommodation Type Used 41
Table 41: First or Repeat Visit to Fundy 41
Table 42: First Time User of Trail 42
Table 43: Reason Hiked Trail 43
Table 44: Average Length of Hiking Time 43
Table 45: Walked Trail to End 44
Table 46: Reason For Not Walking Trail To End 45
Table 47: Signs Read on Devil's Half Acre Trail 45
Table 48: Observation of Slag Heap - Coppermine Trail 46
Table 49: Viewed Coast Line - Coppermine Trail 47
Table 50: Average Number of Signs Read - East Branch Trail 47
Table 51: Viewed Old Log Driving Dam - East Branch Trail 47
Table 52: Returned On Old Road Way - East Branch Trail 48
Table 53: Viewed Water Falls - Third Vault Falls Trail 48
Table 54: Viewed Old Log Driving Dam - Third Vault Falls Trail 49
Table 55: Rating of Trail Difficulty 49
Table 56: Rating of Trail And Completing Trail 50
Table 57: Obtained Background Information On Trail 51
Table 58: Principal Source of Background Information By Trail 51
Table 59: Other Trails Hiked By First Visit To Park 52
Table 60: Mother Tongue of Trail User 52

APPENDIX A: Questionnaires

* * * * *

LIST OF TABLES

		PAGE
Table A:	Estimate of Vehicle Type Breakdown of Existing Vehicles (July 5 - Sept 7, 1982)	2
Table B:	Percentage of Breakdown of Traffic For 8AM to 8PM (July 5 Through Sept 7, 1982)	3
Table 1:	Origin of Park Visitors	6
Table 2:	Month of Visit	6
Table 3:	Weekday-Weekend Visit Pattern	7
Table 4:	Mode of Travel	8
Table 5:	Park Visitor Types	9
Table 6:	Average Length of Stay	10
Table 7:	Average Party Size	11
Table 8:	Age Categories of Park Visitors	11
Table 9:	Composition of User Parties	13
Table 10:	Average User Party Size	15
Table 11:	Reason For Visit	15
Table 12:	Specific Reason By Origin of User Type	16
Table 13:	First or Repeat Visit of Users	18
Table 14:	Length of Stay By User Type	18
Table 15:	Month of Visit and Language of Interview of Users	19
Table 16:	Mother Tongue By User Type	21
Table 17:	Accommodation Used By Overnight Users	21
Table 18:	Average Length of Stay In Accommodation Type	23
Table 19:	Use of Facilities By User Type	23
Table 20:	Use of Facilities/Services By Origin of User	24
Table 21:	Usage of Interpretive Facilities By User Type	26
Table 22:	User of Interpretive Facilities By Origin of User	26
Table 23:	Interpretive Program By User Type	28
Table 24:	Origin of User of Interpretive Programs	28
Table 25:	Used Alma Facilities by User Type	29
Table 26:	Alma Facility Use By Origin of Alma User	30
Table 27:	Park Attraction By Origin of User	31
Table 28:	Rating of Park's Fee Structure of Facilities/Services	32
Table 29:	Rating of Quality of Park's Facilities/ Services	32
Table 30:	Awareness of Park Newsletter by Origin	33
Table 31:	Readership of Park Newsletter Among Parties Aware of Park Newsletters	34
Table 32:	Origin By New Information From Newsletter	35
Table 33:	Readers Willing to Pay For Park Newsletter	35
Table 34:	Readers Willing to Subscribe to Park Newsletter	36
Table 35:	Read Interpretive Publications	37
Table 36:	Origin of Reader Parties of Interpretive Publications	37
Table 37:	Origin and Language of Interview of Trail User	39
Table 38:	Average Hiking Party Size By Origin And Trail	39
Table 39:	Stayed Overnight in Park By Origin	40
Table 40:	Park Accommodation Type Used	41
Table 41:	First or Repeat Visit to Fundy	41
Table 42:	First Time User of Trail	42
Table 43:	Reason Hiked Trail	43
Table 44:	Average Length of Hiking Time	43
Table 45:	Walked Trail to End	44
Table 46:	Reason For Not Walking Trail To End	45
Table 47:	Signs Read on Devil's Half Acre Trail	45
Table 48:	Observation of Slag Heap - Coppermine Trail	46
Table 49:	Viewed Coast Line - Coppermine Trail	47

PAGE

Table 50: Average Number of Signs Read - East Branch Trail 47
Table 51: Viewed Old Log Driving Dam - East Branch Trail 47
Table 52: Returned On Old Road Way - East Branch Trail 48
Table 53: Viewed Water Falls - Third Vault Falls Trail 48
Table 54: Viewed Old Log Driving Dam - Third Vault Falls Trail 49
Table 55: Rating of Trail Difficulty 49
Table 56: Rating of Trail And Completing Trail 50
Table 57: Obtained Background Information On Trail 51
Table 58: Principal Source of Background Information By Trail 51
Table 59: Other Trails Hiked By First Visit To Park 52
Table 60: Mother Tongue of Trail User 52

PREFACE

1.1 Administration

Survey Title: Fundy National Park Visitor/User Study
Sponsor: Parks Canada, Atlantic Rregion
Contact: Ed Thomson
Visitor Research Station
Policy Planning and Research Division
Parks Canada
Atlantic Regional Office
Historic Properties
Upper Water Street
Halifax, Nova Scotia

1.2 Time Frame

The Fundy National Park Visitor/User Study was conducted from July 5 to September 7, 1982, during the hours of 8 AM to 8 PM.

2. Background

The more recent Fundy National Park visitor studies have been carried out in 1972 and 1977. Each of these studies collected data on the characteristics of Park visitors and the usage of Park facilities. The analysis of the data collected in each of the recent studies has revealed specific patterns of visitor/user characteristics and Park facility usage. Since the latest Park study (1977), the economic conditions have changed dramatically. A revolution in automobile size and capacity had begun in 1979/80 which is still impacting on the number of passengers travelling in any automobile; the range of operation; and the ability to haul wheeled overnight accommodations. These economic and technological factors have been shown to have significant effects on the number and type of Park visitors/users.

In light of these changes, updated information on the present Park user and his pattern of Park usage is needed to evaluate existing management and developmental plans. The subsequent analyses of the collected data in 1982 and comparisons with 1972 and 1977 data should aid in the identification of any significant or emerging changes in the characteristics of the Park visitor/user and Park facility usage.

3. Objectives

3.1 General Objectives

The general objectives of the study are:

1) to collect information on who visits the Park, who participates in various Park programs, services and activities; and

2) to gather information on why visitors came.

The specific objectives of each of the surveys within the study are:

3.2 Survey Specific Objectives

1) Traffic Partition Survey

i) to collect data to update the existing traffic formula in particular the vehicle reduction factor (the proportion of total vehicles containing Park visitors) and the average party size of Park visitsor vehicles;

ii) to collect the information needed to estimate the number of Park visitor venicles and the number of Park visitor parties during the survey period; and

iii) to collect the information/data needed to adjust sampling rates and to reduce the degree of bias introduced by the sample selection method.

2) Park Visitor/User Survey

i) to determine the origin and language background of Park visitor/users;

ii) to gather information on the type of visit to the Park, and why they came to the Park;

iii) to determine what facilities they used and what programs they participated in;

iv) to determine their length of stay in the Park;

v) to measure relative satisfaction with various facilities (i.e. over priced); and

vi) to identify the facilities/services used in the community of Alma.

3) Trail User Survey

i) to determine who are the users of the four selected trails;

ii) why they used the trail;

iii) what background information they had on the trail;

iv) to determine whether the trail users complete the trail;

v) to determine other trail use or intention to use;

vi) to determine past trail use; and

vii) to collect any comments regarding the trail.

SECTION A - TRAFFIC PARTITION SURVEY

INTRODUCTION

The Traffic Partition Survey was run concurrently with the Park Visitor/User Survey. As stated in the Preface, updated information was required to adjust the existing Park Visitor formula as well as provide information to adjust the sampling results for the Park Visitor/User Survey. On the selected days during the survey period, a sample of exiting vehicles at both major exits were stopped and questioned as to the purpose of their visit and why they were exiting the Park. These series of questions for exiting traffic enabled the interviewers to classify the exiting vehicle by vehicle type (see definition in following sub-section).

The total count of vehicles exiting during the survey period was registered by traffic counters and associated detectors, positioned in the exiting lanes next to the Park's main gates.

Specific counts were recorded at predefined time intervals to obtain data to adjust the sampling cells for the Park Visitor/ User Survey.

1.1 Definitions

Traffic Partition Vehicle Types:

Commercial	- Commercial delivery or support service for businesses/concessions in the Park. Also included are vehicles supporting Park construction or services.
Park Personnel	- Vehicles carrying Park staff to their place or work or Park staff vehicles who are on duty.
Other Non-Park	- Vehicles exiting Park after having picked someone up working within the Park and which did not stop to use any of the Park's facilities or services. Vehicles containing families of Park staff or Police residing within Park.
Visitor	- Vehicles driving through the Park but not stopping. Reasons for driving through was to see the Park or to use the Park road as an alternative route (sightseeing) to reach a destination.

Park User - Temporary Exit - Vehicles of Park users who temporarily exited the Park gates for various reasons connected with their visit to the Park and who will be returning to complete their visit or activity at the Park.

Park User - Final Exit - Vehicles which contain Park users who have completed their particular visit/activity in the Park.

TABLE A

ESTIMATE OF VEHICLE TYPE BREAKDOWN OF EXITING VEHICLES (JULY 5 - SEPT 7, 1982)

TIME PERIOD	VEHICLE TYPE	TOTAL WEEKDAYS ALMA	TOTAL WEEKDAYS LAKEVIEW	TOTAL WEEKENDS ALMA	TOTAL WEEKENDS LAKEVIEW	TOTAL FOR SURVEY PERIOD
8AM - 11AM	Commercial	533	29	197	3	762
	PC Personnel	1,705	101	519	15	2,340
	Other Non-Park	1,492	21	857	6	2,376
	Visitor	807	412	519	74	1,812
	User-Temp Exit	5,434	95	3,617	22	9,168
	User-Final Exit	5,252	1,082	2,154	212	8,700
11AM - 2PM	Commercial	414	90	255	0	759
	PC Personnel	2,851	64	571	0	3,486
	Other Non-Park	1,370	26	1,569	14	2,979
	Visitor	1,242	783	1,365	172	3,562
	User-Temp Exit	7,280	244	4,535	80	12,139
	User-Final Exit	2,772	1,361	1,895	224	6,252
2PM - 5PM	Commercial	493	105	111	0	709
	PC Personnel	3,848	62	889	25	4,824
	Other Non-Park	1,782	21	865	0	2,668
	Visitor	1,649	710	1,520	361	4,240
	User-Temp Exit	8,663	210	5,930	75	14,878
	User-Final Exit	2,521	963	3,039	237	6,760
5PM - 8PM	Commercial	319	0	55	0	374
	PC Personnel	894	60	523	14	1,491
	Other Non-Park	1,739	20	615	14	2,388
	Visitor	1,069	398	652	277	2,396
	User-Temp Exit	10,405	40	5,045	83	15,573
	User-Final Exit	1,532	559	2,297	166	4,554
8AM - 8PM TOTALS		66,066	7,456	39,594	2,074	115,190
24 HOUR TOTALS		77,434	8,284	45,114	2,439	133,271

TABLE B

PERCENTAGE BREAKDOWN OF TRAFFIC FOR 8AM TO 8PM (JULY 5 THROUGH SEPT 7, 1982)

TIME	VEHICLE TYPES	ESTIMATED NO. OF VEHICLES	PERCENTAGE
8AM - 8PM	Commercial	2,604	2.3
	PC Personnel	12,141	10.5
	Other Non-Park	10,411	9.0
	Visitor	12,010	10.4
	User-Temp. Exit	51,758	44.9
	User-Final Exit	26,266	22.8
TOTAL		115,190	100.0

Percentage of Exiting Vehicles which represents unique Park Visitor Party-Visits = (Visitor) + (Park User-Final Exit)

= (10.4 %) + (22.8 %)

= 33.2 percent

SECTION B - PARK VISITOR SURVEY

I INTRODUCTION

Survey Population and Subpopulations:

The particular physical layout of Fundy National Park and the pattern of traffic in and out of the Park coupled with the specific sampling strategy used in the Fundy Visitor Survey to obtain as accurately as possible the number of "Park Visitors" has led to very specific definitions and labels describing various subpopulations of Park Visitors.

The survey population of the Park Visitor/User Survey represents those parties or persons who have completed their visit to the Park (final exit of particular visit) and who have exited between the hours of 8 AM and 8 PM during the survey period. Figure 1 illustrates the context and relationship of the Park Visitor/User Survey population and the various survey subpopulations referenced to in this summary report. A glossary of definitions as applied in the Park Visitor/User Survey and used throughout this report follows.

Figure 1 - Survey Population and Sub-Populations



PARTY - One or more persons travelling in the same vehicle.

PARK VISITOR PARTY - An exiting party which has completed its particular visit (final exit of visit).

VISITOR PARTY - A subpopulation of PARK VISITOR parties and specifically refers to those parties which did not stop to make use of any of the Park's facilities or services. This definition is synonomous to the classifications of "Corridor User" and "Scenic User".[1]

OVERNIGHT USER PARTY - A subpopulation of PARK VISITOR parties who stay overnight in the Park and who specifically use either the Park campgrounds or the motel or cabins located within the Park boundaries.

DAY USER PARTY - A subpopulation of PARK VISITOR parties who stop in the Park and make use of at least one Park facility or service or area, but then who either return home at the end of their particular visit or who use an overnight accommodation outside the Park boundaries.

---

1 Socio-Economic Research Division, Parks Canada: "Glossary of Visit and Use Related Terms for Tourism and Park Use Research" - 1976.

II PARK VISITORS

TABLE 1 - ORIGIN OF PARK VISITORS

Between the hours of 8 AM and 8 PM daily from July 5 through September 7, 1982, a total of 38,279 PARK VISITOR parties or 109,851 PARK VISITOR persons had visited Fundy National Park. The Local Region accounted for the largest percentage of PARK VISITOR parties (29.0 percent) followed by parties from the United States (27.6 percent), Other Canadian origins (18.7 percent) and Quebec origins (11.5 percent). Of particular note are the relatively small percentages of PARK VISITOR parties from the remainder of New Brunswick and the other three Atlantic Provinces (6.3 percent and 6.4 percent respectively).

TABLE 1: ORIGIN OF PARK VISITORS (Base - 38,279 Parties/109,851 Persons)

Origin	PARK VISITOR PARTIES		PARK VISITOR PERSONS	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Local Region	11,088	29.0	37,762	29.8
Other New Brunswick	2,439	6.3	7,623	6.9
Other Atlantic	2,441	6.4	6,736	6.1
Quebec	4,387	11.5	12,698	11.6
Other Canada	7,012	18.3	21,682	19.7
United States	10,554	27.6	27,368	24.9
Other Foreign	348	.9	925	.8
Unknown	9	*	57	.1
TOTAL	38,279	100.0	109,851	100.0

Local Region: Counties of Albert, Westmorland, Kings and Saint John

* Less than .1 percent

TABLE 2 - MONTH OF VISIT

The analysis of the distribution of percentages of PARK VISITOR parties by origin and month of visit revealed four distinct patterns. The patterns of visits by PARK VISITOR parties originating from the Local Region showed a stable and proportional level through the months of July and August and then a substantially larger concentration or proportionate value of visits in September.

PARK VISITOR parties from Other New Brunswick and Other Atlantic origins exhibited a similar pattern of visits with greater proportional concentrations in July and September and subsequent lesser proportional concentrations in August. The third pattern which was the most extreme of the four patterns in terms of proportional changes was exhibited by PARK VISITOR parties from Quebec and Foreign origins. Proportionally, this third pattern of visits was concentrated in the July month. The final pattern of

visits was associated with PARK VISITOR parties from Other Canadian and United States origins and which was characterized by a proportionally greater concentration of visits in the month of August.

TABLE 2: ORIGIN OF PARTIES BY MONTH OF VISIT TO THE PARK
(Base = 38,279 Parties)

Origin	Month		
	July	August	September
	Row % (Column %)	Row % (Column %)	Row % (Column %)
Local Region	48.9 (28.0)	41.7 (28.2)	9.4 (42.0)
Other New Brunswick	57.5 (7.2)	33.2 (4.9)	10.2 (9.2)
Other Atlantic	53.2 (6.7)	37.9 (5.6)	8.9 (8.8)
Quebec	67.0 (15.2)	30.2 (8.1)	2.8 (4.9)
Other Canada	49.3 (17.8)	46.5 (19.9)	4.2 (11.9)
United States	44.0 (24.0)	50.7 (32.6)	5.3 (22.5)
Other Foreign	67.1 (1.0)	29.1 (0.8)	3.8 (0.7)
Unknown	100.0 *	-	-
Percent of Base	50.6	42.9	6.5

* less than .1 percent

TABLE 3 - WEEKDAY-WEEKEND VISIT PATTERN

With the exception of the PARK VISITOR parties from the Local Region and New Brunswick origins, parties from all other origins visited the Park more often during the weekday period. This particular pattern became more pronounced as the distance from origin to the Park increased. This pattern of visits by origin is closely related to the type of visit made to the Park during the survey period as Local Region PARK VISITOR parties tended to make day use type visits.

Of the parties visiting the Park during the weekday period, the highest proportion was from the United States (31.0 percent). Of the weekend visitors, 43.8 percent were from the Local Region, supporting the initial observation that the Park becomes a very popular spot for local residents during the weekends.

TABLE 3: ORIGIN OF PARTIES BY WEEKDAY OR WEEKEND VISIT TO THE PARK (BASE = 38,279 PARTIES)

Origin	Weekday Row % (Column %)	Weekend Row % (Column %)
Local Region	39.4 (19.0)	60.6 (43.8)
Other New Brunswick	54.5 (5.8)	45.5 (7.2)
Other Atlantic	59.5 (6.3)	40.5 (6.4)
Quebec	73.2 (14.0)	26.8 (7.7)
Other Canada	73.6 (22.5)	26.4 (12.1)
United States	67.3 (31.0)	32.7 (22.5)
Other Foreign	89.7 (1.4)	10.3 (0.2)
Unknown	-	100.0
Percent of Base	60.0	40.0

TABLE 4 - MODE OF TRAVEL

It is apparent from the table that the highest percentage of PARK VISITOR parties travelled to the Park by passenger vehicle only (81.7 percent). PARK VISITOR parties travelling in vehicles which pulled either tent or travel trailers accounted for a combined estimate of 9.3 percent of the total. Of additional interest is that more PARK VISITOR parties travelled in motor homes (4.3 percent) or in truck campers (4.0 percent) than in vehicles pulling a travel trailer (3.4 percent).

As expected, PARK VISITOR parties from the Local Region accounted for a greater proportion of parties travelling by passenger vehicle. PARK VISITOR parties from Quebec origins accounted for a greater proportionate of vehicles pulling tent or travel trailers. In comparison, PARK VISITOR parties from Other Canadian origins accounted for greater proportionate percentages in the tent trailers, motor homes and truck campers categories. PARK VISITOR parties originating in the United States accounted for greater proportion of travel trailers, motor homes and truck campers.

TABLE 4: ORIGIN OF PARTIES BY MODE OF TRAVEL (Base = 38,279 Parties).

Origin	Mode of Travel					
	Passenger Vehicle	Car/Tent Trailer	Car/Travel Trailer	Motor Home	Truck Camper	Other
	Row % (Column %)	Row % (Column %)	Row % (Column %)	Row % (Column %)	Row % (Column %)	Row % (Column %)
Local Region	94.0 (33.3)	2.2 (10.8)	0.9 (7.8)	0.6 (4.3)	1.4 (10.3)	0.8 36.3
Other New Brunswick	81.7 (6.4)	7.3 (7.8)	3.6 (6.8)	2.7 (3.7)	4.0 (5.9)	1.2 11.3
Other Atlantic	85.1 (6.6)	6.6 (7.1)	4.2 (7.8)	1.1 (1.7)	3.0 (4.8)	- -
Quebec	83.4 (11.7)	7.4 (14.3)	4.0 (13.3)	4.0 (10.8)	0.7 (2.0)	0.5 8.6
Other Canada	73.1 (16.3)	10.2 (31.6)	3.4 (18.3)	6.5 (27.8)	6.6 (30.2)	0.2 5.5
United States	73.0 (24.6)	6.1 (28.3)	5.7 (45.6)	7.6 (48.6)	6.7 (46.8)	0.9 38.3
Other Foreign	85.1 (0.9)	- -	- -	14.9 (3.2)	- -	- -
Unknown	100.0 -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -
% of Base	81.7	5.9	3.4	4.3	4.0	0.7

TABLE 5 - PARK VISITOR TYPES

PARK VISITOR parties were classified into three groups or subpopulations: VISITOR parties who were transiting the Park and did not use the facilities/services during their time in the Park; OVERNIGHT USER parties who used the campground, cabin or motel facilities within the Park; and DAY USER parties who visited the Park for day time activities/services. Approximately 31 percent of the total PARK VISITOR parties were of the VISITOR subpopulation. The largest subpopulation was OVERNIGHT USER parties (47.5 percent).[1] DAY USER parties accounted for almost the same percentage (31.1 percent) of the total as did VISITOR parties.

By origin, Local Region parties accounted for nearly double their expected proportion of DAY USER types but were within the expected proportionate value for VISITOR types (i.e. expected percentage corresponds with Local Region's percentage of total PARK VISITOR PARTIES - 29.8 percent - Table 1). In comparison, the other

1 Later tables will divide this group between numbers using fixed roof and camping accommodations.

origins accounted for greater than expected proportions of OVERNIGHT USER types. Both other Atlantic and United States origins accounted for greater than expected proportions of VISITOR types. This confirms some of the comments made by Park staff that some of the PARK VISITORS (i.e. Americans) use the Park as an alternative scenic but longer-route to other destinations.

TABLE 5: ORIGIN OF PARTIES BY TYPE (BASE = 38,279 PARTIES)

Origin	Type VISITOR Row % (Column %)	OVERNIGHT USER Row % (Column %)	DAY USER Row % (Column %)
Local Region	31.8	13.9	54.3
	(29.3)	(10.7)	(50.6)
Other New Brunswick	21.8	43.7	34.5
	(4.4)	(7.4)	(7.1)
Other Atlantic	38.5	40.0	21.5
	(7.8)	(6.8)	(4.4)
Quebec	26.1	50.3	23.6
	(9.5)	(15.4)	(8.7)
Other Canada	32.6	48.2	19.2
	(19.0)	(23.6)	(11.3)
United States	33.1	47.7	19.2
	(29.1)	(35.0)	(17.1)
Other Foreign	24.1	44.3	31.6
	(0.7)	(1.1)	(0.9)
Unknown	100.0	-	-
	(0.1)	-	-
Percent of Base	31.4	37.5	31.1

TABLE 6 - AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY

The VISITOR PARTY as shown in Table 6, spent the least amount per visit in the Park and reflected little or no diversions from travelling the main highway through the Park. There exists a potential to encourage this type of PARK VISITOR to increase the length of stay by the identification and signing of alternate or suggested but scenic routes through the Park.

The OVERNIGHT USER averaged just over two nights per visit. This average has not changed from the average identified in 1977 Park Visitor Survey.

The DAY USER averaged 3.0 hours per visit, which is down from the estimated average length of stay of approximately four hours established in the 1977 survey.

TABLE 6: AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY BY PARK VISITOR TYPE
BASE = 38,279 PARTIES)

	Average Length of Stay
VISITOR	.5 hours
OVERNIGHT USERS	2.07 nights
DAY USER	3.0 hours

TABLE 7 - AVERAGE PARTY SIZE

The overall average party size for PARK VISITOR parties to Fundy National Park was 2.87 persons. DAY USER parties represented the largest party size averaging 3.08 person per party per visit. Average party size for OVERNIGHT USER parties was 2.89 persons and for VISITOR parties, it was 2.63 persons.

TABLE 7: AVERAGE PARTY SIZE BY TYPE (BASE = VISITOR PARTIES)

	Party Size		
	Parties	Persons	Average Size
VISITORS	12,010	31,617	2.63
OVERNIGHT USER	14,358	41,501	2.89
DAY USERS	11,911	36,730	3.08
TOTAL	38,279	109,848	2.87

TABLE 8 - AGE CATEGORIES OF PARK VISITORS

For all PARK VISITORS, the largest proportion was the "adult" age category - 20 to 65 years (68.6 percent). The "children" category ranked second (13.5 percent) and the "teenager" category was slightly greater than the "senior citizens" category (6.4 percent versus 6.2 percent).

This overall proportionate pattern does not change by PARK VISITOR type except for the "senior citizens" age category where in the VISITOR type it ranked second (11.4 percent) and in the remaining two types it ranked last (3.0 and 5.2 percent respectively).

TABLE 8: AGE CATEGORY OF PARK VISITORS BY TYPE (BASE = 109,851 PERSONS)

Age Category	VISITOR (Column %)	OVERNIGHT USER (Column %)	DAY USER (Column %)	ALL PARK VISITORS (Column %)
Pre-School (5 yrs & under)	3.3	5.6	6.6	5.3
Children (6-12 yrs)	10.5	14.9	14.7	13.5
Teenagers (13-19)	4.9	7.1	7.0	6.4
Adults (20-65 yrs)	69.9	69.4	66.6	68.6
Senior Citizens (65 + yrs)	11.4	3.0	5.2	6.2
Percent of Base	28.7	37.8	33.4	100.0

III OVERNIGHT AND DAY USERS

TABLE 9 - COMPOSITION OF USER PARTIES

Significant party composition categories were "one couple" (40.3 percent of all USER Parties) and "one family with children" (36.7 percent). These two categories accounted for over 75 percent of total USER Parties.

By USER party type, however, the above relationship changes. For OVERNIGHT USERS parties, the "one couple" and "one family with children" categories accounted for nearly 85 percent. For DAY USER parties, both "one couple" and "one family with children" categories dropped in magnitude and accounted for only two-thirds of the Total DAY USER parties. Secondly, the relative percentage difference between these two categories decreased. The "group of friends" category became an additional significant group of DAY USERS (16.3 percent).

By origin and User type, the party composition categories of "one couple" and "one family with children" ranked either one or two except for DAY USER parties from other New Brunswick origins, when the "group of friends" category accounted for a larger proportionate value than the "one couple" category (22.8 percent versus 20.2 percent). The "one family with children" category was the most significant composition category for: OVERNIGHT and DAY USER parties from the Local Region; DAY USER parties from Other New Brunswick origins; and OVERNIGHT USER parties from Quebec and Other Canadian origins. The "one couple" category was most significant for: OVERNIGHT USER parties from Other New Brunswick origins; OVERNIGHT and DAY USER parties from Other Atlantic Canada origins; DAY USER parties from both Quebec and Other Canadian origins; and both OVERNIGHT and DAY USER parties from United States and Other Foreign country origins.

TABLE 9: ORIGIN BY COMPOSITION OF USER PARTY (BASE = 26,269 USER PARTIES)

	Party Composition							
	By Self (Row %)	Two Couples (Row %)	One Couple (Row %)	Organized Group (Row %)	One Family With Children (Row %)	A Group of Friends (Row %)	Two Families With Children (Row %)	Other (Row %)
Local Region								
OVERNIGHT	4.2	12.8	26.3	0.9	48.3	4.1	2.2	1.0
DAY USER	10.5	5.1	25.7	-	35.5	19.3	3.1	1.2
Other New Brunswick								
OVERNIGHT	0.5	2.3	42.3	3.4	41.1	3.8	5.4	-
DAY USER	7.6	9.6	20.2	-	31.6	22.8	8.1	-
Other Atlantic								
OVERNIGHT	7.4	2.2	41.9	-	39.0	9.4	-	-
DAY USER	4.8	7.2	38.4	-	31.0	5.5	10.1	-
Quebec								
OVERNIGHT	5.0	2.9	35.3	-	47.8	8.9	-	-
DAY USER	4.2	-	56.3	-	34.3	3.2	2.0	-
Other Canada								
OVERNIGHT	3.1	1.6	40.0	1.2	46.5	6.0	1.1	0.7
DAY USER	-	1.9	39.4	-	33.8	19.4	3.6	1.8
United States								
OVERNIGHT	2.9	2.1	59.4	-	29.3	5.5	0.7	-
DAY USER	4.5	4.8	50.0	-	25.6	13.4	1.6	-
Other Foreign								
OVERNIGHT	11.0	-	55.8	-	32.5	-	-	-
DAY USER	-	-	64.5	-	35.4	-	-	-
All Origins								
OVERNIGHT	3.7	3.0	45,0	0.8	39.7	6.2	1.1	0.4
DAY USER	7.3	4.4	34.6	-	33.1	16.3	3.5	0.9
(% of Base)								
Percent of Base	5.3	3.8	40.3	0.3	36.7	10.8	2.2	0.6

TABLE 10 - AVERAGE USER PARTY SIZE

The overall average party size for OVERNIGHT USERS IS 2.89 persons per party. Among the various origin categories, this ranged from a low of 2.34 persons per party for "Foreign" parties to a high of 3.22 for parties from "Other New Brunswick" origins. Of the DAY USERS, the average party size is 3.08 persons per party and the range among origins is a high of 3.49 from "Other Canada" to a low of 2.73 for other "Foreign" parties.

TABLE 10: ORIGIN BY AVERAGE USER PARTY (BASE = 26,269 USER PARTIES)

	Average Party Size	
	OVERNIGHT USERS	DAY USERS
Local Region	3.16	3.17
Other New Brunswick	3.22	3.32
Other Atlantic	2.76	2.97
Quebec	2.97	2.59
Other Canada	3.08	3.49
U.S.A.	2.62	2.74
Other Foreign	2.34	2.73
Overall User (mean)	2.89	3.08

TABLE 11 - REASON FOR VISIT

The reasons cited more frequently by OVERNIGHT USER parties for visiting the Park were: to camp (39.1 percent); to sightsee (17.6 percent); and to engage in recreational activities (13.5 percent). In contrast more than three-quarters of the DAY USER parties responded that the reason for their visit was to sightsee (76.2 percent). In light of this significant percentage of DAY USER parties who sightsee, there now exists some basis for evaluating the comment, as made by several of Parks Canada's staff, that Fundy has a large "car-oriented" or "driving around" use as compared to a facility or service specific use, especially among "Local" visitors.

The other more frequent reasons cited for visiting the Park by DAY USER parties were: to use the golf course (6.9 percent); to picnic (4.1 percent); and other reasons (6.1 percent), which represents a variety of combinations of the reasons listed in Table 11.

TABLE 11: REASON FOR VISIT BY USER TYPE (BASE = 26,269 USER PARTIES)

Reasons	OVERNIGHT USER (Column %)	DAY USER (Column %)
Sightsee	17.6	76.2
Camp	39.1	-
Use of: Golf Course	0.4	6.9
Swimming Pool	-	0.7
Picnic	-	4.1
Hike	1.2	2.5
Overnight Accommodation	7.6	0.5
Recreational Activities	13.5	1.9
Tides	5.4	0.5
Touring	4.0	0.3
National Park	3.3	0.5
Other	7.8	6.1
Percent of Base	54.7	45.3

TABLE 12 - SPECIFIC REASON BY ORIGIN OF USER TYPE

For all USER parties the main reason for their visit to the Park was sightseeing (44.1 percent), camping was the second most reported reason (21.4 percent), other reasons was third (10.2 percent) and recreational activities the fourth (8.20 percent).

For OVERNIGHT USERS by origin the most cited reason for their visit was for camping (range from 66.0 percent to 24.1 percent); however, the relative importance of camping as the most frequently cited reason decreases as distance from the Park increases. Sightseeing is the second most frequently cited reason for visitng the Park for parties from other New Brunswick (10.7 percent), Quebec (28.9 percent) and the United States (23.1 percent). For OVERNIGHT USERS from the Local Region and Other Atlantic, recreational activities was the second most cited reason for visitng the Park, for OVERNIGHT USERS from Foreign countries, the fact that the Park is a national Park was given as the second most frequently cited reason. For DAY USERS by origin, sightseeing was given as the most frequently cited reason for their visit to the Park by parties from all origins (ranging from 66.9 percent for parties from the Local Region to 92.7 percent). For DAY USER parties from the Local Region and Other Atlantic Provinces golf was cited with the second greatest frequency (10.7 percent and 8.7 percent, respectively). For DAY USERS from Other New Brunswick, Quebec, Other Canada and the United States the second ranked reason given for their visit to the park was for "other" or a combination of reasons.

TABLE 12: ORIGIN OF USER PARTIES TYPE BY SPECIFIC REASON OF VISIT (BASE = 26,269 USER PARTIES)

	Reason for Visit										
	Sightseeing (Row %)	Camping (Row %)	Golf (Row %)	Swimming Pool (Row %)	Picnic (Row %)	Hiking (Row %)	Accom-modation (Row %)	Recreational Activities (Row %)	Touring (Row %)	National Park (Row %)	Other (Row %)
Local Region											
OVERNIGHT	1.1	66.0	1.3	-	-	2.5	3.6	16.8	-	2.5	6.1
DAY USER	66.9	-	10.7	0.8	7.6	3.3	-	3.1	-	0.4	7.3
Other N.B.											
OVERNIGHT	10.7	61.9	-	-	-	2.5	3.0	9.5	2.1	1.8	8.5
DAY USER	68.1	-	7.5	4.3	2.5	4.2	-	-	-	-	13.5
Other Atlantic											
OVERNIGHT	6.6	45.1	-	-	-	-	5.7	21.6	-	2.9	18.1
DAY USER	84.4	-	8.7	-	-	4.0	-	-	-	-	2.9
Quebec											
OVERNIGHT	28.9	40.8	-	-	-	2.0	4.5	6.0	7.1	3.2	7.5
DAY USER	85.2	-	2.1	-	-	2.1	-	2.4	-	-	8.2
Other Canada											
OVERNIGHT	13.6	40.6	1.2	-	-	-	10.4	8.4	5.5	3.5	16.7
DAY USER	92.7	-	1.4	-	1.1	-	-	-	1.3	-	3.4
USA											
OVERNIGHT	23.1	24.1	-	-	-	1.2	9.8	18.9	4.2	3.2	15.3
DAY USER	90.0	-	1.5	-	1.1	1.5	1.0	0.5	0.7	-	3.7
Other Foreign											
OVERNIGHT	48.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	27.2	24.0
DAY USER	67.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	32.7	-
Percent of Base	44.1	21.4	3.3	0.3	1.9	1.7	4.4	8.2	2.3	2.0	10.2

TABLE 13 - FIRST OR REPEAT VISIT OF USERS

Less than one-half (45.5 percent) of all USER parties are repeat visitors to Fundy Park. The single largest group of USER parties is first time "OVERNIGHT USER" parties (36.8 percent) followed by repeat "DAY USER" parties (27.6 percent).

In terms of origin of the parties, for all USERS parties from the Local Region account for more than one-half of repeat visitors (57.7 percent) and parties from the United States account for the highest percentage of first time visitors (39.6 percent).

For OVERNIGHT USERS there are twice as many first time users as repeat users. As expected, parties from the Local Region account for the highest percentage of repeat visitors. Parties from the United States account for the highest percentage of first time OVERNIGHT USERS (41.0 percent).

For DAY USERS, 75.5 percent of the repeat DAY USERS are from the Local Region. The highest percent of first time day users are from the United States (36.7 percent).

TABLE 13: ORIGIN OF USER PARTIES BY USER TYPE AND FIRST/REPEAT VISIT (BASE = 26,269 USER PARTIES)

	OVERNIGHT		DAY USERS		ALL USER	
	First (Col.%)	Repeat (Col.%)	First (Col.%)	Repeat (Col.%)	First (Col.%)	Repeat (Col.%)
Local Region	1.4	30.1	11.5	75.5	4.7	57.7
Other New Brunswick	4.1	14.2	2.3	8.1	3.5	10.5
Other Atlantic	5.2	10.2	5.8	3.5	5.4	6.1
Quebec	18.7	8.2	19.6	1.7	19.1	4.2
Other Canada	28.1	14.1	21.6	4.7	26.0	8.4
United States	41.0	22.8	36.7	4.5	39.6	11.7
Other Foreign	1.4	0.4	2.4	-	1.7	0.1
Unknown	-	-	-	2.0	-	1.2
TOTAL	36.8	17.8	17.7	27.6	54.5	45.5

TABLE 14 - LENGTH OF STAY BY USER TYPE

The average stay for OVERNIGHT USER parties is 2.07 nights, this ranges from a high of 2.77 nights for parties from the Local Region to a low of 1.65 nights for parties from Other Canada. It should be noted, however, that although the Local Region OVERNIGHT USERS tended to stay for the longest period of time, they constitute a very small proportion of total OVERNIGHT USERS. The average length of stay for DAY USERS is a total of 3 hours. This ranges from a high of 3.3 hours for Local Residents to a low 2.4 hours for DAY USER parties from the Other Atlantic and Other Canada origins.

TABLE 14: AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY BY USER TYPE
(BASE = 26,269 USER PARTIES)

Origin	OVERNIGHT USER (nights)	DAY USER (hours)
Local Region	2.77	3.3
Other New Brunswick	2.71	3.2
Other Atlantic	2.45	2.4
Quebec	2.22	3.2
Other Canada	1.65	2.4
United States	1.87	2.5
Other Foreign	1.92	2.8
Unidentified	-	1.0

TABLE 15 - MONTH OF VISIT AND LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW OF USERS

Of the 26,269 USER parties the majority were interviewed in English (87.5 percent), and the remainder in French (12.5 percent). The origin of those parties interviewed in French were the Local Region (11.0 percent), Other New Brunswick (11.6 percent) and Quebec (72.4 percent). On a month-by-month basis, one in five were interviewed in French during July while one in eleven were interviewed in French in August. The highest demand for interviews in French for July and August was from parties from Quebec (77.7 percent and 66.0 percent respectively) and in September the highest demand for interviews in French came from parties from the Local Region (40.5 percent).

TABLE 15: ORIGIN OF USERS BY MONTH AND LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW (BASE = 26,269 USER PARTIES)

	July		August		September		All Months	
	English % (Col.%)	French % (Col.%)	English % (Col.%)	French % (Col.%)	English % (Col.%)	French % (Col.%)	English (Col.%)	French (Col.%
Local Region	32.6	7.9	29.1	13.7	37.9	40.5	31.3	11.0
Other New Brunswick	6.4	9.6	5.2	13.9	7.7	27.5	6.0	11.6
Other Atlantic	5.9	0.9	5.4	2.5	8.2	-	5.8	1.3
Quebec	5.3	77.7	2.4	66.0	0.3	32.0	3.8	72.4
Other Canada	20.2	2.2	21.5	-	13.4	-	20.2	1.5
United States	27.7	-	35.6	1.6	31.0	-	31.2	0.4
Other Foreign	1.0	1.6	0.7	2.4	1.5	-	0.9	1.7
Unknown	1.1	-	0.2	-	-	-	0.9	1.7
Percent of Base	45.7	8.5	37.4	3.4	4.4	0.6	87.5	12.5

TABLE 16 - MOTHER TONGUE BY USER TYPE

The distribution of mother tongue by all USER parties was; 14.1 percent French, 82.2 percent English and 3.7 percent Other. Of the USER types, a higher proportion of OVERNIGHT USERS than would be expected were French (15.9 percent versus 14.1 percent) and a lower proportion of DAY USERS were French (11.9 percent versus 14.1 percent).

TABLE 16: MOTHER TONGUE BY USER TYPE (Base = USER PARTIES)

Mother Tongue	OVERNIGHT USER	DAY USER	ALL USER PARTIES
French	15.9	11.9	14.1
English	81.0	83.5	82.2
Other	3.1	4.6	3.7
USER Type Base (parties)	14,357	11,910	26,267

TABLE 17 - ACCOMMODATION USED BY OVERNIGHT USERS

Over 91 percent of OVERNIGHT USER parties stayed at either Chignecto, Point Wolfe or Headquarters Campgrounds. OVERNIGHT USER parties from the United States and Other Canada origins ranked one and two respectively as the major users of all the campgrounds. The use of Point Wolfe campground by parties from the Local Area, Other New Brunswick and Other Atlantic was less than would have been expected while parties from the United States using Point Wolfe was higher than would have been expected (46.7 percent versus 35.0 percent). Cabins were used by 7.2 percent of parties while the motel was used by only 3.5 percent of parties. There was a higher proportion of parties from the Local Area, Other New Brunswick and Other Atlantic who used the cabins than would have been expected (23.8 percent versus 10.1 percent, 12.8 percent versus 7.4 percent and 9.0 percent versus 6.8 percent respectively). Over one-half of the motel users were from the United States (57.0 percent).

TABLE 17: ORIGIN BY ACCOMMODATION TYPE (BASE = OVERNIGHT USERS = 14,358 PARTIES)

Origin	Accommodation Type									
	Chignecto Campground (% Column)	Point Wolfe Campground (% Column)	Headquarters Campground (% Column)	MicMac Group Campground (% Column)	Goose River Campground (% Column)	Chambers Campground (% Column)	Motel (% Column)	Cabin (% Column)	Other (% Column)	Origin %
Local Area	12.6	4.2	14.1	18.2	-	-	1.0	23.8	16.5	10.1
Other New Brunswick	9.1	1.2	8.1	45.5	-	-	19.3	12.8	1.9	7.4
Other Atlantic	6.9	4.8	8.9	-	-	-	-	9.0	10.4	6.8
Quebec	16.1	15.1	14.2	36.4	-	100.0	17.2	16.0	3.3	15.4
Other Canada	23.2	25.3	25.2	-	-	-	5.5	11.0	13.7	23.6
United States	31.7	46.7	27.9	-	100.0	-	57.0	27.5	54.2	35.0
Other Foreign	0.5	2.0	1.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.3
Percent of Base**	24.8	32.0	34.6	0.5	0.1	2.0	3.5	7.2	1.5	

Summing of column total percentages of base is greater than 100 percent since an overnight party could use more than 1 type of overnight accommodation on their visit.

TABLE 18 - AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY IN ACCOMMODATION TYPE

We have learned from the previous table that approximately 91 percent of all OVERNIGHT USER parties stayed at Chignecto, Point Wolfe or Headquarters campgrounds. The average length of stay at these campbrounds was 1.6 nights for Chignecto, 1.9 nights for Point Wolfe and 2.1 nights for the Headquarters. OVERNIGHT parties using the Motel stayed an average of 2 nights and parties using the Cabins stayed an average of 3.1 nights.

TABLE 18: AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY BY ACCOMMODATION TYPE
(BASE = OVERNIGHT USER)

Accommodation Type	Average Length of Stay
Chignecto Campground	1.6 nights
Pointe Wolfe Campground	1.9 nights
Headquarters Campground	2.1 nights
Micmac Group Campground	7.2 nights
Goose River Campground	1.0 nights
Chambers Campground	1.0 nights
Motel	2.0 nights
Cabin	3.1 nights
Other	2.0 nights

TABLE 19 - USE OF FACILITIES BY USER TYPE

Of the parties, both OVERNIGHT and DAY USERS, using Park facilities, the swimming pool had the highest percentage of usage at 17.5 percent; picnic facilities were second with 17.1 percent; the Coppermine Trail was third with 11.7 percent; the golf course was fourth with 11.6 percent; and the children's playground was fifth with 10.4 percent.

For OVERNIGHT USERS the most frequently used facility was the swimming pool (21.0 percent), the second was the Coppermine Trail (17.5 percent), the third was the children's playground (12.6 percent) and the forth was the golf course (10.2 percent).

For DAY USERS the most frequently used facility was the picnic ground (25.7 percent), the second was the golf course (13.4 percent) and third was the swimming pool (13.2 percent).

TABLE 19: USAGE OF FACILITIES AND SERVICES BY USER TYPE
(BASE = USER TYPE PARTIES)

Facilities	USER TYPE: OVERNIGHT USERS (% Column)	DAY USERS (% Column)	ALL USERS (% Column)
Picnic Ground	9.9	25.7	17.1
Swimming Pool	21.0	13.2	17.5
Bennett Lake - Swim	4.2	5.0	4.6
Wolfe Lake Beach	0.8	1.3	1.0
Golf Course	10.2	13.4	11.6
Tennis Courts	5.2	1.4	3.5
Lawn Bowling	5.4	2.2	4.0
Arts and Crafts School	0.8	0.9	0.9
Boat Rental - Bennet Lake	6.1	4.0	5.2
Fishing - Wolfe Lake	0.1	-	*
Boat Rental - Wolfe Lake	0.1	0.1	0.1
Fishing - Bennett Lake	3.1	0.5	1.9
Wilderness Camping - Goose River	0.1	-	*
Children's Play Area	12.6	7.7	10.4
Wilderness Camping - Chambers Lake	0.2	-	0.1
Unguided Trails			
Marvin Lake	0.3	-	0.2
Rattail	0.2	-	0.1
Blackhole	0.6	-	0.3
Coppermine	17.5	4.7	11.7
Goose River	0.7	-	0.4
Matthews Head	4.4	0.9	2.8
Foster Brook	1.3	0.4	0.9
Herring Cove	9.8	2.0	6.3
Bennett Brook	0.1	0.3	0.2
Tracey Lake	1.0	-	0.6
Woodland	0.3	-	0.2
Third Vault	3.8	1.0	2.5
Herring Cove to Point Wolfe	1.7	1.2	1.5
Point Wolfe to Herring Cove	2.6	2.2	2.4
East Branch	0.5	0.1	0.3
Chignecto Campground to Clubhouse	1.3	0.5	0.9
Facility Use Base (Parties)	14,358	11,911	26,269

Note: Column percents do not sum to 100 since a party could use one or more facilities during a visit

* Less than 0.1 percent

TABLE 20 - USE OF FACILITIES/SERVICES BY ORIGIN OF USER

Parties from the Local Region accounted for only 28.8 percent of ALL USERS; however, they exhibited a higher percentage of use of most facilities. They accounted for 38.7 percent of all picnic facilities users, 32.9 percent of pool users, 48.9 percent of Bennette Lake users, 54.2 percent of golf course users, 33.6 percent of tennis court users, 30.3 percent of lawn bowling, 31.3 percent of boat rental and 41.8 of children's play area users. However, they are under represented in terms of users of the Coppermine and Herring Cove Trails.

TABLE 20: ORIGIN BY USAGE OF FACILITIES AND SERVICES (BASE = USER PARTIES)

Origin	Picnic Ground (% Column)	Swimming Pool (% Column)	Bennette Lake-Swim (% Column)	Golf Course (% Column)	Tennis Courts (% Column)	Lawn Bowling Green (% Column)	Boat Rental (% Column)	Childrens Play Area (% Column)	Cooper Mine Trail (% Column)	Herring Cove Trail (% Column)
Local Region	38.7	32.9	48.9	54.2	33.6	30.3	31.3	41.8	12.1	11.2
Other N.B.	6.7	9.4	6.3	8.5	6.2	26.4	10.5	8.9	6.6	9.3
Other Atlantic	3.3	7.8	7.7	6.0	15.4	13.5	12.5	6.6	4.0	-
Quebec	14.6	15.8	8.0	5.8	23.5	11.2	10.3	10.5	16.3	20.4
Other Canada	15.4	7.6	13.3	8.2	12.7	17.6	19.5	27.3		
USA	20.0	11.1	18.0	12.1	8.6	10.4	22.6	13.0	38.3	28.2
Other Foreign	0.9	0.8	3.5	-	-	-	-	1.4	3.2	3.4
Percentage of Base (26,269)	17.1	17.5	4.6	11.6	3.5	3.9	5.2	10.3	11.7	6.3

* For facilities and services used by less than 3% of population see computer output

TABLE 21 - USAGE OF INTERPRETIVE FACILITIES BY USER TYPE

Of the 14,358 OVERNIGHT USER parties a very high proportion (29.5 percent) used the Dixon Falls self-guiding trail. A total of 17.1 percent used the Outdoor Theatre; 13.6 percent visited the Fundy Tides Exhibit; 13.0 percent the Point Wolfe Exhibit; 11.5 percent hiked Devil's Half Acre Trail and another 10.5 percent drove the Maple Grove Trail. Of the 11,911 DAY USER parties, 8.2 percent visited the Fundy Tides Exhibit and 8.1 percent the Point Wolfe History Exhibit. Less than one percent of DAY USER parties visited each of the other interpretive facilities listed. However, 17.4 percent of DAY USER parties hiked the Dixon Falls Trail (this trail was the most popular interpretive facility for both OVERNIGHT USERS and DAY USER parties).

TABLE 21: USAGE OF INTERPRETIVE FACILITIES BY USER TYPE
(BASE = USER TYPE PARTIES)

Interpretive Facilities	USER Type: OVERNIGHT USER (% Column)	USER Type: DAY USER (% Column)
Assembly Hall	4.1	0.3
Outdoor Theatres - Headquarters	17.1	0.2
Outdoor Theatre - Chignecto	1.4	-
Fundy Tides Exhibit	13.6	8.2
Tall Trees to Wooden Ships Exhibit	4.1	0.7
Point Wolfe History Exhibit	13.0	8.1
Self Guiding Trails		
East Branch	0.4	-
Devil's Half Acre	11.5	5.2
Kinnie Brook	2.0	1.1
Dickson Falls	29.5	17.4
Maple Grove	10.5	7.2
Caribou Plains	4.5	0.6
Interpretive Facilities User Bases	14,358	11,911

Note - caution: percentages do not sum to 100 percent

TABLE 22 - USER OF INTERPRETIVE FACILITIES BY ORIGIN OF USER

The more popular interpretive facility was the Dickson Falls self-guided trail (24 percent). With regard to other facilities, USER parties indicated that they viewed or attended the Fundy Tides Exhibit (11.1 percent), Point Wolfe History Exhibit (10.8 percent), the Outdoor Theatre (9.4 percent), Maple Grove Trail (9.0 percent) and Devils Half-Acre Trail (8.6 percent). All other interpretive facilities were attended by less than 3 percent of USER Parties.

TABLE 22: ORIGIN BY USER OF INTERPRETIVE FACILITIES (BASE = USER PARTIES)

	Assembly Hall (% Column)	Outdoor Theatre (% Column)	Outdoor Theatre Chig.S.Camp (% Column)	Fundy Tides Exhibit (% Column)	Tall Trees To Wooden Ships Exhibit (% Column)	Point Wolfe History Exhibit (% Column)	E. Branch Self-Guid. Trail (% Column)	Devils Half-acre Self-Guide (% Column)	Kinnie Brook S-G Trail (% Column)	Dickson Falls S-G Trail (% Column)	Maple Grove S-G Trail (% Column)	Caribu Plains S-G Trail (% Column)
Local Region	17.7	13.9	-	20.1	13.2	18.0	-	33.6	21.0	18.9	1.8	25.6
Other New Brunswick	1.1	10.0	5.6	7.2	7.7	5.0	-	6.3	19.0	8.9	12.7	17.0
Other Atlantic	11.0	12.0	-	8.6	17.7	18.9	48.4	8.8	7.3	5.3	9.7	6.6
Quebec	24.9	7.6	51.7	6.4	7.2	13.5	33.9	9.0	15.4	18.5	13.7	10.5
Other Canada	13.9	12.3	-	19.8	15.2	17.6	-	14.0	8.5	17.5	17.6	2.9
United States	28.7	43.1	42.8	37.0	36.0	37.1	17.7	27.5	28.8	30.0	27.0	37.4
Other Foreign	2.7	1.1	-	0.9	3.0	-	-	0.7	-	0.9	1.3	-
Percent of Base (26,269 Parties)	2.4	9.4	0.7	11.1	2.5	10.8	0.2	8.6	1.6	24.0	9.0	2.7

TABLE 23 - INTERPRETIVE PROGRAM BY USER TYPE

The OVERNIGHT USER parties made use of the interpretive programs much more extensively than DAY USER parties. The DAY USER parties participation in interpretive programs was negligible. For the OVERNIGHT USER parties the Evening Program was the most frequently used (20.0 percent). Of the remaining interpretive programs, the usage by both USER types was extremely low.

TABLE 23: USAGE OF INTERPRETIVE PROGRAMS BY USER TYPE
(BASE = USER TYPE PARTIES)

Programs	USER Type	
	OVERNIGHT USER (% Column)	DAY USER (% Column)
Evening Program	20.0	-
Campfire	1.1	-
Special Event	0.7	-
Kidstuff	2.7	-
Caribou Plain Walk	0.2	-
Matthew Head Guided Walk	0.3	-
Alma Beach Guided Walk	1.3	-
Swimming Pool Beach Walk	-	0.1
Point Wolfe Beach Walk	0.2	0.4
Herring cove (Tidal Walk)	2.3	0.1
Interpretive Program USER Bases	14,358	11,911

Note: Percentage shown should not be summed. They reflect the proportions of USERS of each base. Users may use more than one type of interpretive program.

TABLE 24 - ORIGIN OF USER OF INTERPRETIVE PROGRAMS

It should be noted that in comparing this table with the previous one (Table 23) that the information presented in this table combines the data for "OVERNIGHT USER" and "DAY USER" parties. As can be observed from the table the program with the highest proportion of USER parties attending is the Evening Program, with 10.9 percent of USER Parties. Herring Cove Guided Walk was undertaken by 1.3 percent of USER Parties. Less than 1 percent of all USER parties attended any of the other interpretive programs.

A greater than expected proportion of local residents were found in the special events, kid stuff, swimming pool, beachwalk and Pointe Wolfe Beach Walk Activities. Likewise, a higher proportion of other New Brunswick USER parties participated in the campfire and Alma Beach Walk. American USER parties tended to be more highly represented in the evening program, Alma Beach Walk and Herring Cove Guided Walk.

TABLE 24: ORIGIN BY USAGE OF INTERPRETIVE PROGRAMS (BASE = USER PARTIES)

Origin	Evening Program (% Column)	Camp Fire (% Column)	Special Event (% Column)	Kid Stuff (% Column)	Alma Beach Walk (% Column)	Herring Cove Guided Walk (% Column)
Local Region	10.6	22.4	80.4	40.3	5.9	4.5
Other New Brunswick	9.8	23.0	-	1.6	16.8	9.0
Other Atlantic	11.1	10.6	12.6	-	-	13.4
Quebec	12.6	26.7	19.6	13.8	16.8	9.0
Other Canada	12.8	-	-	-	17.8	18.3
United States	41.5	17.4	-	31.6	42.7	45.9
Other Foreign	1.6	-	-	-	-	-
Percent of Base (26,269 parties)	10.9	0.6	0.4	0.2	0.7	1.3

TABLE 25 - USED ALMA FACILITIES BY USER TYPE

A high proportion of OVERNIGHT USER parties (80.2 percent) and a much lower proportion of DAY USER parties (27.9 percent) indicated that they had used one or more facilities in Alma during their visit to the Park.

Of the Overnight USER parties, 99.0 percent indicated that they had used "other" facilities followed by "souvenir shopping" (80.8 percent) and "grocery shopping" (76.7 percent).

Of the DAY USER parties, 94.2 percent indicated that they used "other" services followed by "grocery shopping" (28.3 percent) and "eating in restaurant" (20.6 percent).

TABLE 25: USE OF FACILITIES IN ALMA BY USER TYPES (BASE = ALMA USER TYPE PARTIES)

Activity	USER Type: OVERNIGHT USERS (% Column)	USER Type: DAY USERS (% Column)
Grocery Shopping	76.7	28.3
Souvenir Shopping	80.8	19.5
Gas/Oil Purchase	17.8	4.9
Sightseeing	22.4	14.2
Eating in Restaurant	19.2	20.6
Other	99.0	94.2
Alma USER Type Bases	11,512	3,318
Percentage of USER Type Bases	80.2	27.9

TABLE 26 - ALMA FACILITY USE BY ORIGIN OF ALMA USER

From the table we can see that the service most frequently used in Alma was the grocery store (65.9 percent), 28.2 percent of the Alma USER parties shopped for souvenirs, 20.6 percent were sightseers, 19.5 percent ate at Alma restaurants and 14.9 percent purchased gas and/or oil. Ninety eight percent indicated they had used other facilities such as laundry, post office, bakery and bar. In terms of the distribution of the use of facilities and services by origin, parties from the United States were the most frequent users of all services except sightseeing. They accounted for 30.4 percent of grocery shopping parties, 23.6 percent of souvenir shopping parties, 29.6 percent of gas/oil purchasing parties and 42.9 percent of those parties who ate in Alma restaurants. It is also noteworthy that approximately 65 percent of souvenir shopping parties were from Quebec, Other Canada and the United States.

TABLE 26: ORIGIN BY USAGE OF FACILITIES IN ALMA (BASE = ALMA USER PARTIES - 14,380 parties)

	Grocery Shopping (Col. %)	Souvenir Shopping (Col.%)	Gas/Oil Purchase (Col.%)	Sight-Seeing (Col.%)	Eating in Restaurant (Col.%)	Other (Col.%)
Local Region	19.1	13.4	12.9	14.4	11.6	20.7
Other New Brunswick	8.3	10.8	12.8	8.0	8.2	8.3
Other Atlantic	3.2	8.8	8.2	13.8	3.9	6.9
Quebec	12.3	21.0	15.8	13.6	16.5	13.7
Other Canada	19.8	20.3	20.7	27.9	14.8	20.7
United States	30.4	23.6	29.6	20.3	42.9	20.6
Other Foreign	1.3	2.1	-	2.1	2.1	1.6
Percent of Alma User Base (14,830)	65.9	28.2	14.9	20.6	19.5	98.0

IV PARK ATTRACTION UNWEIGHTED RESPONSES

TABLE 27 - PARK ATTRACTION BY ORIGIN OF USER

USER Parties were asked to cite the most significant Park attraction for them. The more frequently cited attraction responses in order of priority were scenery (20.2 percent), "National Park" (9.9 percent), "high tides" (8.2 percent), recreational facilities (5.8 percent) and previous visit (5.2 percent)

TABLE 27: ORIGIN BY MORE FREQUENTLY CITED PARK ATTRACTION** (UNWEIGHTED BASE = 1,061 PARTIES)

	Recreational Facilities	National Park	High Tides	Scenery	Previous Visit
Local Region	72.6	17.1	-	35.0	21.8
Other New Brunswick	8.0	8.6	3.4	6.1	18.2
Other Atlantic	4.8	8.6	1.1	3.7	9.1
Quebec	6.5	15.2	16.0	9.8	12.7
Other Canada	4.8	20.0	29.9	15.9	9.1
Unived States	8.2	27.6	48.3	28.5	29.1
Other Foreign	-	2.9	1.1	0.5	-
Percent of Base	5.8	9.9	8.2	20.2	5.2

* The base for this table represents a one-time simple sample survey, with all repeat interviews excluded. This base represents 95% of all USER interviews completed during the survey period.

** For Park Attractions cited by less than 5% of sample see computer output

V RATING OF FACILITIES/SERVICES

TABLE 28 - RATING OF PARK'S FEE STRUCTURE OF FACILITIES/SERVICES

This table presents the responses to the question of the rating of the fee structure with respect to facilities/services offered by the Park compared to other similar facilities/services in the local region. Of the approximately 60 percent who could rate the fee structure a slight majority rated the fee structure for facilities/services as less expensive (27.2 percent). Among the various origins, Local Region and Other New Brunswick parties had a greater tendency to respond "unable to compare" than would have been expected. Parties from Other New Brunswick tended to rate the fee structure as about the same as outside the Park. Parties from Other Atlantic origins rated the fee structure as more expensive. Parties from Quebec and Other Canada tended to rate the fee as less expensive or responded "don't know". Parties from the United States rated the fee structure as more expensive.

TABLE 28: ORIGIN BY RATING OF FEE STRUCTURE WITH RESPECT TO FACILITIES/SERVICES OFFERED IN THE SURROUNDING AREA (UNWEIGHTED BASE = 1,061 PARTIES)

	More Expensive (Column %)	About The Same (Column %)	Less Expensive (Column %)	Don't Know (Column %)	Unable To Compare (Column %)	Origin Percent Of Base
Local Region	29.2	27.0	28.7	26.0	36.0	29.1
Other New Brunswick	4.2	11.2	7.3	6.0	17.6	7.7
Other Atlantic	10.4	6.8	4.2	3.8	6.6	5.6
Quebec	8.3	9.0	12.8	13.2	7.6	10.7
Other Canada	14.6	18.0	20.4	19.2	14.7	18.1
United States	33.3	28.1	26.3	30.6	26.0	28.0
Other Foreign	-	-	1.4	1.3	1.4	0.9
Percent of Base	4.5	26.2	27.2	22.2	19.9	

TABLE 29 - RATING OF QUALITY OF PARK'S FACILITIES/SERVICES

The majority of parties who could rate the quality of the Park's facilities/services stated that the facilities/services offered by the Park are significantly better than those offered in the Local Region. Only 2.0 percent said the facilities/services were poorer. Comparing the responses to this question with the rating of the fee structure, it appears that the USER parties are indicating that they are getting better facilities/services for the price they are paying. If we examine the responses by origin, we find that for the Local Region USER parties the tendency was to respond "unable to compare".

Comparing these responses on the quality of Park Facility/Services to the rating of fee structure we find that there is a consistant pattern between the USER parties from each origin (i.e. more

parties than expected rate fee structure and the quality of facilities/services better). Other New Brunswick parties tend to rate the faciliteis/services "better". Compared with the rating of the fee structure, these parties tend to indicate they are getting a better product for the fees paid. Other Atlantic parties tend to rate the facilities/services as "same" as those found in the Local Region. This group also rates the fee structure as more expensive and therefore, in their view, are not getting as good a product for the fee paid. Quebec parties tend to rate the facilities/services significantly "poorer", however, this group rated the fee structure as less expensive. Parties from Other Canada tend to rate the facilities/services as poorer, and this group also rated the fee structure as less expensive (i.e., they perceive that they are getting a reasonable product for the money). Parties from the United States tend to respond to "don't know" or "unable to compare". For the United States parties who responded, there was a tendency to rate the product quality as "better" and the fee structure as "more expensive".

TABLE 29: ORIGIN BY RATING OF FACILITIES/SERVICES (UNWEIGHTED BASE = 1,061 PARTIES)

	Better	Same	Poorer	Don't Know	Unable To Compare	Origin % of Base
Local Region	29.6	28.4	28.5	24.4	34.9	29.1
Other New Brunswick	8.6	8.3	-	7.0	6.6	7.7
Other Atlantic	5.0	8.3	-	3.7	6.6	5.6
Quebec	11.3	8.3	33.3	13.2	6.6	10.7
Other Canada	17.0	21.6	23.8	18.6	15.2	18.1
United States	27.5	25.2	14.3	31.4	29.3	28.0
Other Foreign	1.0	-	-	1.7	1.0	0.9
Percent of Base	36.0	20.6	2.0	22.8	18.8	

VI PARK NEWSLETTER

TABLE 30 - AWARENESS OF PARK NEWSLETTER BY ORIGIN

Of the 1,061 parties interviewed 53.8 percent indicated awareness of the Park Newsletter. Among the various origins the awareness of the Newsletter is as follows: for the parties from the Local Region, a higher proportion than expected were not aware of the Newsletter (27.7 percent versus 29.2 percent). For all other origins, however, the proportion of parties who were aware of the Newsletter is in proportion to the distribution of total parties interviewed (i.e. there is a high correlation between the percentage of parties responding "yes" and the percentage of parties from each origin).

TABLE 30: ORIGIN BY AWARENESS OF PARK NEWSLETTER (UNWEIGHTED BASE = 1,061 PARTIES)

	Yes (Col.%)	No (Col.%)	Don't Know (Col.%)	Percent Of Base (Col%)
Local Region	27.7	31.7	23.5	29.2
Other New Brunswick	7.7	8.0	3.9	7.6
Other Atlantic	5.6	5.0	9.8	5.6
Quebec	11.6	9.8	5.9	10.6
Other Canada	18.6	17.8	15.7	18.1
United States	28.1	26.4	41.2	28.0
Other Foreign	0.7	1.4	-	*
Percent of Base	53.8	41.4	4.8	100.0

* Less than 1 percent

TABLE 31 - READERSHIP OF PARK NEWSLETTER AMONG PARTIES AWARE OF PARK NEWSLETTERS

Of the 570 parties who were aware of the Newsletter 65.3 percent indicated that they read it. Among the parties from the various origins we find that significantly fewer parties than could be expected from the Local Region read the Newsletter. However, the readership of the Newsletter was higher than expected in all other cases. A partial explanation of the low readership by parties from the Local Region may relate to the fact that these parties are aware of Park services and facilities and background (only 4.7 percent of first time visitors were from the Local Region whereas 57.7 percent of repeat visitors were from this region - Table 13).

TABLE 31: ORIGIN BY READ PARK NEWSLETTER (UNWEIGHTED BASE = 570 AWARE PARK NEWSLETTER PARTIES)

	Read Newsletter Yes (Col.%)	Read Newsletter No (Col%)	Percent of Base (Col.%)
Local Region	19.6	42.9	27.7
Other New Brunswick	8.1	7.1	7.7
Other Atlantic	7.3	2.5	5.6
Quebec	12.1	10.6	11.6
Other Canada	20.4	15.2	18.6
United States	31.7	21.2	28.1
Other Foreign	0.8	0.5	0.7
Percent of Base	65.3	34.7	100.0

TABLE 32 - NEW INFORMATION OBTAINED FROM READING NEWSLETTER

Of the total parties who read the Park Newsletter 89.0 percent of the parties agreed that they had gained information or insight of the Park. Among the parties from the various origins a higher than expected proportion from the Local Region indicated that they did not obtain any new information from the Newsletter, whereas a higher proportion than would be expected from the United States indicated that they did.

TABLE 32: ORIGIN BY NEW INFORMATION FROM NEWSLETTER
(UNWEIGHTED BASE = 372 READER PARTIES)

	Yes (Col.%)	No (Col.%)	Origin % of Base (Col.%)
Local Region	17.8	34.1	19.6
Other New Brunswick	8.4	4.9	8.0
Other Atlantic	7.6	4.4	7.3
Quebec	11.8	14.6	12.1
Other Canada	20.2	22.0	20.4
United States	33.2	19.5	31.7
Other Foreign	0.9	-	0.8
Percent of Base	89.0	11.0	100.0

TABLE 33 - READERS WILLING TO PAY FOR PARK NEWSLETTER

The parties who read the Newsletter were asked if they would be willing to pay a fee for the Newsletter. Of the reader parties, 44.4 percent indicated a willingness to pay for the Newsletter while 42.7 percent said "no". A further 12.9 percent indicated a degree of ambivalance. A greater proportion of parties than would have been expected from the Local Region and Other Foreign origins expressed a willingness not to pay for the Newsletter. A higher proportion of parties than would have been expected from Other New Brunswick and Other Atlantic indicated a willingness to pay for the Newsletter. It is interesting to note that there is a positive correlation between the parties willing to pay for the Newsletter and those who indicated that they had gained new information from the Newsletter, the exception being parties from the United States and Other Foreign origins. This can be seen as an indicator of the relationship between the perceived value and the willingness to pay for the Newsletter.

TABLE 33: ORIGIN BY WILLINGNESS TO PAY FOR NEWSLETTER
(UNWEIGHTED BASE = 372 READER PARTIES)

	Yes (Col.%)	No (Col.%)	Don't Know (Col.%)	Percent of Base (Col.%)
Local Region	17.6	25.2	8.3	19.6
Other New Brunswick	9.7	5.0	12.5	8.1
Other Atlantic	10.3	3.8	8.3	7.3
Quebec	11.5	12.6	12.5	12.1
Other Canada	19.4	20.8	22.9	20.4
United States	30.9	31.4	35.4	31.7
Other Foreign	0.6	1.3	-	0.8
Percent of Base	44.4	42.7	12.9	100.0

TABLE 34 - READERS WILLING TO SUBSCRIBE TO PARK NEWSLETTER

The parties who had read the Newsletter were asked if they would be willing to subscribe to the publication. Only 11.8 percent of the readers replied affirmatively. A higher proportion of readers than would have been expected from the Local Region (29.5 percent), Other New Brunswick (18.2 percent) and Quebec (15.9 percent) indicated a willingness to subscribe to the Newsletter.

TABLE 34: ORIGIN BY WILLINGNESS TO SUBSCRIBE TO NEWSLETTER
(UNWEIGHTED BASE = 372 READER PARTIES)

	Yes (% Column)	No (% Column)	Don't Know (% Column)	Origin Percent of Base (% Column)
Local Region	29.5	17.9	21.9	19.6
Other New Brunswick	18.2	6.4	9.4	8.1
Other Atlantic	6.8	7.4	6.3	7.3
Quebec	15.9	11.8	9.4	12.1
Other Canada	9.1	21.6	25.0	20.4
United States	20.5	33.8	28.1	31.7
Other Countries	-	1.0	-	0.8
Percent of Base	11.8	79.6	8.6	100.0

VII INTERPRETIVE PUBLICATION READERSHIP

TABLE 35 - READ INTERPRETIVE PUBLICATIONS

Parties were also asked about readership patterns of the Park's interpretive publications. A total of 42.8 percent of those interviewed indicated having read at least one interpretive publication. The breakdown of responses was as follows: the Point Wolfe History publication (25.3 percent); the Tides of Fundy (32.7 percent); and From Tall Trees to Wooden Ships (13.4 percent).

TABLE 35: READERSHIP OF INTERPRETIVE PUBLICATIONS (UNWEIGHTED BASE = 1,061 PARTIES)

	Interpretive Publications			
Read (Col.%)	Point Wolfe History (Col.%)	Tides of Fundy (Col.%)	From Tall Tree to Wooden Ships (Col.%)	Total Readers Of One or More Publications (Col.%)
Yes	25.3	32.7	13.4	42.8
No	74.4	67.3	86.6	57.2

TABLE 36 - ORIGIN OF READER PARTIES OF INTERPRETIVE PUBLICATIONS

Of the interpretive publications, the Tides of Fundy was the most popular (76.3 percent), followed by Pointe Wolfe History (59.0 percent) and From Tall Trees to Wooden Ships (31.3 percent). Readers from the Local Region tended to read the Point Wolfe History publication. Readers from Other Canada and the United States show a higher degree of readership, accounting for approximately 50 percent of the readers for each of the publications.

TABLE 36: ORIGIN BY INTERPRETIVE PUBLICATION READ (UNWEIGHTED BASE = INTERPRETIVE PUBLICATION READER PARTIES)

	Interpretive Publication		
	Point Wolfe History	Tides of Fundy	From Tall Trees To Wooden Ships
Local Region	24.5	18.0	19.9
Other New Brunswick	5.4	5.6	5.6
Other Atlantic	6.5	4.4	7.8
Quebec	11.7	13.7	11.3
Other Canada	17.7	21.8	21.9
United States	33.5	34.9	33.3
Other Foreign	1.1	1.7	0.7
Specific Publication Reader Base % of Total Readership Base (451 Parties)	59.0	76.3	31.3

SECTION C - TRAIL USER SURVEY

I INTRODUCTION

The four trails selected for the survey of trail users were Devil's Half Acre, East Branch, Coppermine and Third Vault Falls. The survey period covered July 5 through September 7, 1982, during which a total 16,762 persons were counted on the four trails. The total number of persons counted hiking each of the four trails were as follows:

(i) Devil's Half Acre - 6,983 persons
(ii) East Branch - 272 persons
(iii) Coppermine - 6,813 persons
(iv) Third Vault Falls - 2,694 persons

The reader of this report is advised to view or use the percentages reported for the East Branch Trail with caution as the values are based on a small sample of East Branch Trail users.

II TRAIL USERS

TABLE 37 - ORIGIN AND LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW OF TRAIL USER

Between July 5 and September 7, 1982, 16,762 persons hiked the four trails included in the Trail User Survey. Overall, the most frequently used trail of the four was Devil's Half Acre, which accounted for 41.7 percent of surveyed trail users. Coppermine Trail was hiked by 40.6 percent of all trail users. The majority of trail users, 87.7 percent, completed the interview in English while 12.3 percent completed the French version.

TABLE 37: ORIGIN BY LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW BY TRAIL (BASE = 16,762 TRAIL USERS)

Origin	Devil's Half Acre		East Branch		Coppermine		Third Vault Fall	
	English (Col. %)	French (Col. %)	English (Col. %)	French (Col %)	English (Col. %)	French (Col %)	English (Col. %)	Frenc (Col. %)
Local Region	35.9	33.3	25.0	-	4.8	5.6	19.3	18.2
Other New Brunswick	6.5	16.7	-	-	6.8	-	12.9	27.3
Other Atlantic	10.0	-	37.5	-	1.9	0	3.2	-
Quebec	3.3	50.0	12.5	100.0	2.9	77.8	-	54.5
Other Canada	18.3	-	-	-	28.6	11.1	16.1	-
United States	25.0		25.0	-	49.5	-	45.2	-
Other Foreign	0.8	-	-	-	5.7	5.6	3.2	-
Percent of Base	39.7	2.0	1.4	0.2	34.7	5.9	11.9	4.2

TABLE 38 - AVERAGE HIKING PARTY SIZE BY ORIGIN AND TRAIL

Average hiking party size ranged from 2.7 persons at Coppermine Trail to 3.6 persons at Devil's Half Acre. With the exception of East Branch Trail hiking parties from the local area tend to be slightly larger, on average, than those from other areas.

TABLE 38: ORIGIN BY AVERAGE HIKING PARTY SIZE BY TRAIL (BASE = TRAIL USERS)

	Devil's Half Acre	East Branch	Coppermine	Third Vault
Local Region	4.3	3.0	3.0	3.5
Other New Brunswick	2.6	-	2.1	4.6
Other Atlantic	3.1	-	2.7	1.8
Quebec	3.0	3.5	3.1	3.0
Other Canada	3.1	-	2.7	1.8
United States	2.9	3.0	2.6	2.6
Other Foreign	3.0	-	3.0	3.0
All Trails	3.6	3.1	2.7	3.1

TABLE 39 - STAYED OVERNIGHT IN PARK BY ORIGIN

Of the total trail users 67.3 percent had stayed overnight in the Park. OVERNIGHT PARK USERS represented the largest proportion of trail users except for Third Vault Falls. From a relative percentage, East Branch, Coppermine and Third Vault Falls Trails were less popular with OVERNIGHT PARK USERS from the Local Region. Trail users from United States origins, who stayed overnight in the Park, represented a substantial percentage of the trail users of Coppermine and Third Vault Falls Trails and who stayed overnight in the Park.

TABLE 39: ORIGIN BY OVERNIGHT STAY BY TRAIL (BASE = 16,762 TRAIL USERS)

	Devil's Half Acre (Col. %)		East Branch (Col. %)		Coppermine (Col. %)		Third Vault Falls (Col. %)	
OVERNIGHT	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Origin								
Local Region	27.1	48.1	12.5	100.0	6.4	7.2	19.1	18.8
Other New Brunswick	4.2	11.5	-	-	4.3	3.6	11.5	25.0
Other Atlantic	16.3	-	37.5	-	1.1	3.6	3.8	-
Quebec	6.8	3.8	25.0	-	15.8	7.1	11.5	18.8
Other Canada	17.6	17.2	-	-	26.4	25.0	7.7	18.8
United States	27.1	19.1	25.0	-	42.1	42.8	46.2	12.6
Other Foreign	1.4	-	-	-	4.2	10.7	-	6.3
Percent of Base	24.5	17.2	1.4	0.2	31.4	9.3	10.0	16.1

TABLE 40 - PARK ACCOMMODATION TYPE USED

Overall, the most frequently used accommodation by overnight Park trail users was the Point Wolfe campground (42.9 percent). For Devil's Half Acre and Third Vault Falls the most popular campground was Headquarters which was used by 54 percent and 50 percent of users respectively. At Coppermine the most frequently used campground was the one at Point Wolfe. Coppermine Trail is used by a higher proportion of trail users staying overnight in the Park (48.3 percent).

TABLE 40: OVERNIGHT PARK ACCOMMODATION TYPE BY TRAIL (BASE = 11,273 OVERNIGHT PARK TRAIL USERS)

	Devil's Half Acre (Col. %)*	East Branch (Col. %)*	Coppermine (Col. %)*	Third Vault (Col. %)*	All Trails (Col. %)*
Chignecto Campground	17.5	25.0	28.7	25.0	21.4
Pointe Wolfe Compground	25.4	75.0	66.7	41.7	42.9
Headquarters Campground	54.0	25.0	20.7	50.0	32.7
Other (not specified)	-	-	1.1	12.5	2.3
Other (specified)	7.9	-	1.1	8.3	4.6
Percent of Base	35.0	1.2	48.3	15.4	

*Note: Columns do not equal 100.0 percent since overnight users may have stayed in more than one overnight accommodation type.

TABLE 41 - FIRST OR REPEAT VISIT TO FUNDY

Of the 16,762 trail users 58.4 percent were visiting the Park for the first time while 41.5 percent had been there previously. In all trails except Devil's Half Acre, first time users were the majority of trail users. At Devil's Half Acre the majority of first time visitors (68.6 percent) were from provinces west of Quebec and the United States. The majority of repeat visitors walking the Devil's Half Acre Trail (53.6 percent) were from the local region. The highest percentage (40.0) of first time visitors at East Branch were from Atlantic Provinces other than New Brunswick while there was no difference in the distribution of repeat visitors. At Coppermine Trail 75.7 percent of first time visitors and 50.1 percent of repeat visitors were from other parts of Canada and the United States. At Third Vault Falls the largest percentage of first time visitors (46.1 percent) were from the United States while 50.2 percent of the repeat visitors were from the local region.

TABLE 41: ORIGIN BY FIRST/REPEAT VISIT TO FUNDY NATIONAL PARK BY TRAIL (BASE = 16,762 TRAIL USERS)

	Devil's Half Acre		East Branch		Coppermine		Third Vault	
	First	Repeat	First	Repeat	First	Repeat	First	Repeat
	(Col. %)		(Col. %)		(Col. %)		(Col. %)	
Local Region	14.1	53.6	20.0	25.0	1.1	13.9	-	50.2
Other New Brunswick	3.6	10.0	-	-	1.1	16.7	19.2	12.6
Other Atlantic	5.3	13.0	40.0	25.0	1.1	2.8	-	6.3
Quebec	7.0	4.3	20.0	25.0	13.8	13.9	15.4	12.5
Other Canada	22.9	13.0	-	-	24.1	30.6	15.3	6.3
United States	45.7	5.8	20.0	25.0	51.6	19.5	46.1	12.5
Other Foreign	1.8	-	-	-	6.9	2.8	3.8	-
Percent of Base	18.8	22.8	0.9	0.7	28.7	11.9	10.0	6.1

TABLE 42 - FIRST TIME USER OF TRAIL

In general, the majority, 86.4 percent, of trail users were hiking the trails for the first time. This majority was reflected across all trails although Devil's Half Acre and East Branch Trails showed a higher percentage of repeat users as compared to Coppermine and Third Vault Falls.

TABLE 42: FIRST TIME USED TRAIL BY TRAIL (BASE = 16,762 TRAIL USERS)

First Time on Trail	Devil's Half Acre (Col. %)	East Branch (Col. %)	Coppermine (Col. %)	Third Vault (Col. %)	All Trails (Col. %)
Yes	75.4	77.8	93.5	97.6	86.4
No	24.6	22.2	6.5	2.4	13.6
Trail Percent of Base	41.6	1.6	40.6	16.1	100.0

TABLE 43 - REASON HIKED TRAIL

The most popular reason given for hiking the Devil's Half Acre Trail was "Curiosity" about the trail (25.4 percent). A third of those hiking East Branch gave "Fishing" as their reason for using the trail while "Park Literature" and "Length of Trail" were the more popular reasons for hiking the Coppermine Trail. The majority of trail users at Third Vault Falls gave the "Falls" and "Park Literature" as the most common reasons for hiking the trail.

TABLE 43: THE MORE POPULAR REASONS FOR HIKING TRAIL BY TRAIL (BASE = 16,762 TRAIL USERS)

	Devil's Half Acre (Col. %)	East Branch (Col. %)	Coppermine (Col. %)	Third Vault (Col. %)
Recommended By Other Visitors	7.1	-	-	-
Park Literature	11.9	22.2	17.1	28.6
Hiked Trail Base	15.9	-	-	-
Like the Length	11.9	-	13.8	-
Looking for Falls (Third Vault)	-	-	-	47.6
Curiosity	25.4	-	9.8	-
Accommodation Stayed at	-	11.1	11.4	-
Access to Recreational Facilities	-	11.1	-	-
Fishing	-	33.3	-	-
Not Heavily Used	-	11.1	-	-
Easy Trail	-	11.1	-	-
Scenery	-	-	9.8	-
Trail Near Water (Coppermine)	-	-	9.8	-
Percent of Base	41.6	1.6	40.6	16.1

For reasons given by less than 5 percent of the trail users see computer output.

TABLE 44 - AVERAGE LENGTH OF HIKING TIME

Overall, trail users spent the least amount of time (30 minutes) at Devil's Half Acre and the greatest amount of time (3 hours and 12 minutes) on the East Branch Trail. At Devil's Half Acre, users from foreign countries (other than United States) spent the longest time on the Trail while at East Branch local users spent the most time. At Coppermine and Third Vault Falls visitors from the other Atlantic provinces hiked the longest (1.9 and 3.2 hours, respectively).

TABLE 44: ORIGIN BY AVERAGE LENGTH OF HIKING TIME BY TRAIL (BASE = 16,762 TRAIL USERS)

	Devil's Half Acre (Hours)	East Branch (Hours)	Coppermine (Hours)	Third Vault Falls (Hours)
Local Region	0.4	5.0	1.3	2.4
Other New Brunswick	0.6	-	1.6	2.4
Other Atlantic	0.4	2.7	1.9	3.2
Quebec	0.3	3.0	1.4	3.0
Other Canada	0.5	-	0.8	1.6
United States	0.4	2.5	1.5	2.6
Other Foreign	0.8	-	1.7	2.7
Trail Average	0.5 hours	3.2 hours	1.4 hours	2.6 hours

TABLE 45 - WALKED TRAIL TO END

The majority of trail users, 85.5 percent, walked the trails to their end. All of the Devil's Half Acre Trail users finished while 100.0 percent of those who did not finish the East Branch Trail were from the Local Region. One out of four trail users did not walk Coppermine Trail to its end while one out of five did not walk Third Vault Falls Trail to the end.

TABLE 45: ORIGIN BY WALKED TO END OF TRAIL (BASE = 16,762 TRAIL USERS)

	Devil's Half Acre (Col. %)		East Branch (Col. %)		Coppermine (Col. %)		Third Vault Falls (Col. %)	
	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Local Region	35.7	-	12.5	100.0	2.2	12.1	21.1	11.1
Other New Brunswick	7.2	-	-	-	6.6	3.0	18.2	11.1
Other Atlantic	9.5	-	37.5	-	2.2	-	-	11.1
Quebec	5.6	-	25.0	-	12.2	18.2	15.2	11.1
Other Canada	17.5	-	-	-	24.4	30.3	9.1	22.2
United States	23.8	-	25.0	-	45.6	33.4	33.4	33.3
Other Foreign	0.8	-	-	-	6.7	3.0	3.0	-
Percent of Base	41.7	-	1.5	0.1	29.7	10.9	12.6	3.4

TABLE 46 - REASON FOR NOT WALKING TRAIL TO END

Of the 2,324 trail users who did not hike the trails to the end, the most frequently given reasons for not doing so were that: it took too much time (36.9 percent); it was physically too demanding (16.7 percent); and it was too hard to follow (12.7 percent). All those who did not finish the East Branch Trail indicated that the Trail was too physically demanding while a large percentage (38.7 percent) of those who did not complete Coppermine Trail said they did not finish because it took too much time.

TABLE 46: REASON FOR NOT COMPLETING TRAIL BY TRAIL (BASE = 2324 TRAIL USERS WHO DID NOT COMPLETE TRAIL)

	East Branch (Col. %)	Coppermine (Col. %)	Third Vault (Col. %)	Non Completers (Col. %)
Too Much Time	-	38.7	33.3	36.9
Poor Weather	-	6.5	-	4.8
Hard to Follow	-	9.7	22.2	12.7
Difficult With Children	-	9.7	-	7.1
Physically Too Demanding	100.0	9.7	33.3	16.7
Didn't Know Length	-	9.7	-	7.1
Other Unspecified	-	9.7	-	7.1
No Specific Reason	-	6.5	-	4.8
Too Many People Swimming	-	-	11.1	0.3
Percent of Base	1.3	73.9	24.8	100.0

TABLE 47 - SIGNS READ ON DEVIL'S HALF ACRE TRAIL

Of the 6,983 Devil's Half Acre trail users, 99.2 percent read both of the signs on the Trail. Those who read the geological story only, were all from the United States.

TABLE 47: ORIGINS BY SIGNS READ AT DEVIL'S HALF ACRE (BASE = 6983 DEVIL'S HALF ACRE TRAIL USERS)

	Geological Story Only (Col. %)	Geological Story and Legend (Col. %)
Local Region	-	36.0
Other New Brunswick	-	7.2
Other Atlantic	-	9.5
Quebec	-	5.6
Other Canada	-	17.5
United States	100.0	23.2
Other Foreign	-	0.8
Percent of Base	0.8	99.2

TABLE 48 - OBSERVATION OF SLAG HEAP - COPPERMINE TRAIL

Of the 6,813 Coppermine Trail users, 61.8 percent observed the slag heap while 38.2 percent did not. Users from the United States were the more likely to observe the slag heap while local users and users from other Atlantic origins were less likely to. Approximately one-quarter of the Trail users who did not observe the slag heap also did not walk the Trail to the end.

TABLE 48: ORIGIN BY OBSERVED SLAG HEAP (BASE = 6813 COPPERMINE TRAIL USERS

	Observed Slag Heap		
	YES (Col. %)	NO (Col. %)	PERCENT OF ORIGIN (Col. %)
Local Region	2.6	8.5	4.9
Other New Brunswick	5.2	6.3	5.6
Other Atlantic	-	4.3	1.6
Quebec	13.2	14.9	13.8
Other Canada	23.7	29.8	26.0
United States	48.7	32.0	42.2
Other Foreign	6.6	4.3	5.7
Percent of Base	61.8	38.2	100.0

TABLE 49 - VIEWED COAST LINE - COPPERMINE TRAIL

Overall, the majority (79.7 percent) of Coppermine Trail users observed the coast line from the view point on the Trail. As was the case in the previous table trail users from the local region were less likely to do so.

TABLE 49: ORIGIN BY VIEWED COASTLINE FROM VIEW POINT (BASE = 6813 COPPERMINE TRAIL USERS

	Viewed Coast Line		
	YES (Col. %)	NO (Col. %)	PERCENT OF ORIGIN (Col. %)
Local Region	2.0	16.0	4.9
Other New Brunswick	6.0	4.0	5.6
Other Atlantic	2.0	-	1.6
Quebec	13.3	16.0	13.8
Other Canada	25.5	28.0	26.0
United States	44.9	32.0	42.2
Other Foreign	6.1	4.0	5.7
Percent of Base	79.7	20.3	100.0

TABLE 50 - AVERAGE NUMBER OF SIGNS READ - EAST BRANCH TRAIL

The estimated average of signs read by the 212 East Branch Trail users was 6.7. Users from the other Atlantic Provinces read the most signs (7.5) while local users read fewer signs. There was ten signs in total along the length of the trail.

TABLE 50: ORIGIN BY AVERAGE NUMBER OF SIGNS READ EAST BRANCH TRAIL (BASE = 212 SIGN READERS)

	Average Number of Signs Read
Local Region	6.0
Other Atlantic	7.5
Quebec	6.5
United States	6.5
TOTAL	6.7

TABLE 51 - VIEWED OLD LOG DRIVING DAM - EAST BRANCH TRAIL

The majority of East Branch Trail users (88.9 percent) viewed the Old Log Driving Dam. Of those who did not view the dam, all were from the local region.

TABLE 51: ORIGIN BY VIEWED OLD LOG DRIVING DAM (BASE = 272 EAST BRANCH TRAIL USERS)

	Viewed Old Log Driving Dam	
	YES (Col. %)	NO (Col. %)
Local Region	12.5	100.0
Other New Brunswick	-	-
Other Atlantic	37.5	-
Quebec	25.0	-
Other Canada	-	-
United States	25.0	-
Other Foreign	-	-
Percent of Base	88.9	11.1

TABLE 52 - RETURNED ON OLD ROAD WAY - EAST BRANCH TRAIL

Of the 272 East Branch Trail users, 88.9 percent returned on the old Road Way. All of those users who did not do so were from Quebec, and were part of that group which walked the Trail to its end.

TABLE 52: ORIGIN BY RETURNED ON OLD ROAD WAY (BASE = 272 EAST BRANCH TRAIL USERS)

	Returned on Old Road Way	
	YES (Col. %)	NO (Col. %)
Local Region	25.0	-
Other New Brunswick	-	-
Other Atlantic	37.5	-
Quebec	12.5	100.0
Other Canada	-	-
United States	25.0	-
Other Foreign	-	-
Percent of Base	88.9	11.1

TABLE 53 - VIEWED WATER FALLS - THIRD VAULT FALLS TRAIL

Of the 2,694 Third Vault Falls Trail users, 78.9 percent viewed the water falls. Trail users from areas west of Quebec were less likely to do so. A number of trail users not viewing the Falls did not walk the trail to its end.

TABLE 53: ORIGIN BY VIEWED WATER FALLS (BASE = 2694 THIRD VAULT FALLS TRAIL USERS)

	Viewed Water Falls		
	YES (Col. %)	NO (Col. %)	PERCENT OF ORIGIN (Col. %)
Local Region	21.1	11.1	19.1
Other New Brunswick	18.2	11.1	16.7
Other Atlantic	-	11.1	2.4
Quebec	15.2	11.1	14.3
Other Canada	9.1	22.2	11.9
United States	33.3	33.3	33.3
Other Foreign	3.0	-	2.4
Percent of Base	78.6	21.4	100.0

TABLE 54 - VIEWED OLD LOG DRIVING DAM - THIRD VAULT FALLS TRAIL

Sixty-nine percent of the Third Vault Falls Trail users viewed the Old Log Driving Dam. Trail users from other parts of Canada and other foreign countries were less likely to do so.

TABLE 54: ORIGIN BY VIEWED OLD LOG DRIVING DAM (BASE = 2694 THIRD VAULT FALLS TRAIL USERS)

	Viewed Old Log Driving Dam		
	YES (Col. %)	NO (Col. %)	PERCENT OF ORIGIN (Col. %)
Local Region	24.0	7.7	19.1
Other New Brunswick	20.6	7.7	16.7
Other Atlantic	-	7.7	2.4
Quebec	13.8	15.4	14.3
Other Canada	6.9	23.1	11.9
United States	34.4	30.8	33.3
Other Foreign	-	7.7	2.4
Percent of Base	69.0	31.0	100.0

TABLE 55 - RATING OF TRAIL DIFFICULTY

Overall, the majority, 56.5 percent, of trail users rated the trails as being comfortable. At Devil's Half Acre, 92 percent rated the trail as being either comfortable or easy while 100 percent of the East Branch Trail users did so. One-half of the Third Vault Falls Trail users rated that trail as either strenuous or very strenuous, whereas nearly 15 percent of the Coppermine Trail users rated it as strenuous.

TABLE 55: RATING OF TRAIL BY TRAIL (BASE = 16,762 TRAIL USERS)

	Devil's Half Acre (Col. %)	East Branch (Col. %)	Coppermine (Col. %)	Third Vault (Col.%)	All Trails (Col. %)
Rating					
Very Strenuous	-	-	-	4.8	0.7
Strenuous	7.9	-	14.6	45.2	16.5
Comfortable	57.1	55.6	59.3	47.6	56.5
Easy	34.9	44.4	26.0	2.4	26.2
Percent of Base	41.7	1.6	40.6	16.1	100.0

TABLE 56 - RATING OF TRAIL AND COMPLETING TRAIL

There does not appear to be a direct relationship between the rating of the trail and whether or not a user finished the trail. Slightly more than 48 percent of those who did not finish Coppermine Trail rated it as being comfortable. However, a large percentage, 44.4 percent, of those who did not finish Third Vault Falls Trail rated it as being strenuous. Other factors such as length of trail and trail being too difficult to follow take on greater importance for not completing the trail in the Coppermine and Third Vault Falls situations. In the situation of East Branch Trail, the response for rating the trail and not walking the trail to the end contradicts the findings in Table 56. this may be due to either a coding or capture error, or a misunderstanding of the question.

TABLE 56: RATING OF TRAIL BY TRAIL BY WALKED TRAIL TO END

	Devil's Half Acre		East Branch		Coppermine		Third Vault Falls	
Finished	Yes (Col.%)	No (Col.%)	Yes (Col.%)	No (Col.%)	Yes (Col.%)	No (Col.%)	Yes (Col.%)	No (Col.%)
Rating of Trail								
Very Strenuous	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.0	11.1
Strenuous	7.9	-	-	-	14.4	15.2	45.5	44.4
Comfortable	57.1	-	50.0	100.0	63.3	48.5	51.5	33.3
Easy	34.9	-	50.0	-	22.2	36.4	-	11.1
Percent of Base	41.7	-	1.4	0.2	29.7	10.9	12.6	3.4

TABLE 57 - OBTAINED BACKGROUND INFORMATION ON TRAIL

Overall, the majority (58.4 percent) of trail users had some background information on the trails prior to hiking them. The exception to this was the Devil's Half Acre Trail users where 58.7 percent had no background information on the trail. Part of the reason that so many Devil's Half Acre Trail users did not obtain any background information before they hiked the Trail can be linked to the one out of four users who was a repeat user of this particular Trail.

TABLE 57: OBTAINED BACKGROUND INFORMATION ON TRAIL BY TRAIL (BASE = 16,762 TRAIL USERS)

	Devil's Half Acre (Col. %)	East Branch (Col. %)	Coppermine (Col. %)	Third Vault Falls (Col. %)	All Trails (Col. %)
Obtained Background Information					
Yes	41.3	88.9	66.7	78.6	58.4
No	58.7	11.1	33.3	21.4	41.6
Percent of Base	41.6	1.6	40.6	16.1	100.0

TABLE 58 - PRINCIPAL SOURCE OF BACKGROUND INFORMATION BY TRAIL

In general, pamplets and publications were the most common source of background information (50.3 percent), followed by the Park Map (24.7 percent). These two sources ranked one and two for each of the trails. "Previous Walks" proved to be a principal source for the Devil's Half Acre Trail.

TABLE 58: PRINCIPAL SOURCE OF BACKGROUND INFORMATION BY TRAIL (BASE = 9,783 TRAIL USERS WHO OBTAINED BACKGROUND INFORMATION)

	Devil's Half Acre (Col. %)	East Branch (Col. %)	Coppermine (Col. %)	Third Vault Falls (Col. %)	All Trails (Col. %)
Previous Walks	17.3	-	-	-	5.1
Other Users	7.7	-	1.2	-	2.8
Park Staff	9.6	12.5	9.8	6.1	9.0
Park Map	21.2	25.0	24.4	30.3	24.7
Pamphlet/ Publications	32.7	62.5	56.1	60.6	50.3
Other	11.5	-	8.5	3.0	8.0
Percent of Base	29.5	2.5	46.4	21.6	100.0

TABLE 59 - OTHER TRAILS HIKED BY FIRST VISIT TO PARK

The majority of trail users (59.5 percent) had not hiked other trails in the Park. Repeat visitors were more likely to have hiked other trails while first time trail users were less likely to have done so.

TABLE 59: HIKED OTHER TRAILS BY FIRST VISIT (BASE = 16,762 TRAIL USERS)

Hiked Other Trails	First Visit		
	Yes (Col.%)	No (Col.%)	Percent of Base (Col.%)
Yes	31.3	53.3	40.4
No	68.7	46.7	59.5
Percent of Base	58.4	41.6	100.0

TABLE 60 - MOTHER TONGUE OF TRAIL USER

The mother tongue of the majority of trail users (80.6 percent) was English. Third Vault Falls Trail users had the highest proportion of users whose mother tongue was French.

TABLE 60: MOTHER TONGUE BY TRAIL (BASE = 16,762 TRAIL USERS)

	Devil's Half Acre (Col. %)	East Branch (Col/ %)	Coppermine (Col. %)	Third Vault Falls (Col. %)	All Trails (Col. %)
Mother Tongue					
English	87.3	89.0	78.8	66.7	80.6
French	9.5	11.0	15.4	33.3	15.6
Other	3.2	-	5.7	-	3.6
Percent of Base	41.7	1.6	40.6	16.1	100.0

APPENDIX A

FUNDY NATIONAL PARK
PARC NATIONAL DE FUNDY

PARK VISITOR/USER SURVEY 1982
ENQUETE AUPRES DES VISITEURS 1982

LOCATION OF INTERVIEW _____ PARK VISITOR CATEGORY CODE 1. PNS 2. PF

TIME _____ DAY _____ MONTH _____ INTERVIEWER _____

HELLO, MY NAME IS _____. WE ARE CONDUCTING A SURVEY OF PARK VISITORS TO FUNDY NATIONAL PARK AND WOULD APPRECIATE YOUR HELP IN ANSWERING SOME BRIEF QUESTIONS ABOUT YOUR VISIT? ALL OF YOUR ANSWERS WILL BE STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL.

NOUS MENONS UNE ENQUETE AUPRES DES VISITEURS DU PARC NATIONAL DE FUNDY ET NOUS APPRECIERIONS VOTRE AIDE EN REPONDANT A QUELQUES BREVES QUESTIONS AU SUJET DE BOTRE VISITE. VOS REPONSES SERONT TRAITEES CONFIDENTIELLEMENT.

SECTION 1 (FOR ALL PARK VISITORS - CODES PNS & PF)

1. WHAT LANGUAGE WOULD YOU LIKE TO BE INTERVIEWED IN?
DANS QUELLE LANGUE AIMERIEZ-VOUS ETRE INTERVIEWER? 1. English 2. French

2. *(ASK ONLY WHERE NECESSARY)* HOW DID YOU TRAVEL TO THE PARK?
(SI NECESSAIRE POSEZ LA QUESTION SUIVANTE) QUEL GENRE DE VEHICULE AVEZ-VOUS UTILISE POUR VOUS RENDRE AU PARC?

1. Passenger Car 2. Car/Tent Trailer 3. Car/Travel Trailer 4. Motor Home
5. Truck Camper 6. Other (specify) _____

3. WHERE IS YOUR PERMANENT RESIDENCE?
OU DEMEUREZ-VOUS DE FACON PERMANENTE?

_____ City/Town/Village _____ Province/State _____ Country

4. (A) INCLUDING YOURSELF, HOW MANY PEOPLE ARE IN THIS VEHICLE?
Y COMPRIS VOUS-MEME, COMBIEN Y A-T-IL DE PERSONNES? _____ Persons

(B) HOW MANY ARE:
COMBIEN Y A-T-IL:

1. Pre-school children (0 - 5 years)
D'enfants d'age prescolaire (0 - 5 ans) _____
2. Children (6 - 12 years)
D'enfants (6 - 12 ans) _____
3. Teenagers (13 - 19 years)
D'adolescents (13 - 19 ans) _____
4. Adults (20 - 64 years)
D'adultes (20 - 64 ans) _____
5. Senior Citizens (65 + years)
De personnes agees (65 ans et plus) _____

5. WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING BEST DESCRIBES YOUR PARTY? ARE YOU:
LEQUEL DES ENONCES SUIVANTS DECRIT LE MIEUX VOTRE SITUATION DE VISITEUR? ETES-VOUS:

1. By yourself / Seul
2. Two couples / Deux couples
3. One couple alone / Un couple sans enfant
4. An organized group / Un groupe organise
5. One family with children / Une famille avec des enfants
6. A group of friends / Un groupe d'amis
7. Two families with children / Deux familles avec des enfants
8. Other (specify) / Autre (preciser) _____

6. WHEN YOU ENTERED THE PARK AT THE BEGINNING OF THIS VISIT, THROUGH WHICH GATE DID YOU ENTER?
QUAND VOUS ETES ENTRES DANS LE PARC AU DEBUT DE CETTE VISITE, QUELLE ENTREE AVEZ-VOUS EMPRUNTEE?

1. Alma 2. Lakeview 3. Other (specify) _____

7. WHAT IS THE SPECIFIC REASON FOR THIS VISIT? *(NOTE: FOR PNS RESPONDENTS DO NOT ASK THIS QUESTION. MARK CATEGORY #1)*
QUELLE EST LA RAISON PRECISE DE VOTRE VISITE? *(NOTE: NE POSEZ PAS LA QUESTION AUX VISITEURS QUI TRAVERSENT SEULEMENT LE PARC. INDIQUEZ CATEGORIE #1).*

1. Drive through - not stopping / Traversant - sans arrêter *(go to Question 8)*
2. Drive through - sightseeing / Traversant - randonnée de plaisir
3. To camp / Pour faire du camping
4. To use golf course / Pour utiliser le terrain de golf
5. To use swimming pool / Pour utiliser la piscine
6. To picnic / Pour pique-niquer
7. To hike / Pour faire de l'excursion à pied
8. For overnight accommodations / Pour passer la nuit
9. To engage in a recreational activity (the main one) / Pour participer à une activité recreative (la plus importante) _____
10. To participate in an interpretive program/event (which one) / Pour participer à un programme/évènement d'interpretation (lequel) _____
11. Other/Autre (specify) _____

8. IS THIS THE FIRST TIME YOUR PARTY HAS VISITED FUNDY NATIONAL PARK?
EST-CE LA PREMIERE FOIS QUE VOUS VISITEZ LE PARC NATIONAL DE FUNDY?

1. Yes 2. No

32 ☐

9. WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING BEST DESCRIBES YOUR VISIT TO FUNDY NATIONAL PARK?
(DO NOT ASK OF PNS RESPONDENTS, MARK CATEGORY 4 ONLY) *(READ CHOICES)*
LEQUEL DES ENONCES SUIVANTS DECRIT LE MIEUX VOTRE VISITE AU PARC NATIONAL DE FUNDY?

33 ☐☐ 34

1. Main destination from home
Votre destination principale
2. One of several planned stops
L'une des destinations de votre voyage
3. An unplanned stop en route
Une destination imprévue
4. Drive through - not stopping
Traversant - sans arretêr
5. Other (specify)
Autre (préciser) _____

10. DID YOUR PARTY STAY OVERNIGHT IN THE PARK?
AVEZ-VOUS PASSE LA NUIT DANS LE PARC?

35 ☐

1. Yes *(Go to Overnight Park Users - SECTION II Question 12)*
2. No & PF Respondent *(Go to Question #11)*
3. No & PNS Respondent *(Go to Question #11)*

11. HOW LONG WAS THIS PARTICULAR VISIT TO FUNDY NATIONAL PARK?
COMBIEN DE TEMPS AVEZ-VOUS VISITE LE PARC NATIONAL FUNDY CETTE POIS-CI?

36 ☐☐☐ 38

PNS & DAY USERS: Minutes _____ Hours _____

IF RESPONDENT PARTY IS A 'PNS CATEGORY', SURVEY INTERVIEW COMPLETED, THANK THEM FOR THEIR COOPERATION, ETC.

IF RESPONDENT PARTY IS A 'PF CATEGORY', CONTINUE WITH QUESTION 13 IN SECTION III

SECTION II (FOR OVERNIGHT PARK USERS ONLY)

12. (A) WHAT TYPE OF ACCOMMODATION DID YOUR PARTY USE DURING YOUR OVERNIGHT STAY ON THIS VISIT?
DURANT VOTRE SEJOUR QUEL TYPE DE LOGEMENT AVEZ-VOUS UTILISE?

(B) HOW MANY NIGHTS DID YOU SPEND IN THIS/EACH ACCOMMODATION DURING THIS VISIT?
COMBIEN DE TEMPS AVEZ-VOUS PASSE A CE/CHAQUE TYPE DE LOGEMENT DURANT CETTE VISITE?

CAMPGROUND
TERRAIN DE CAMPING

1. Chignecto
Chignectou _____
2. Point Wolfe
Pointe Wolfe _____ 39 ☐☐ 42 ☐☐
3. Park Headquarters
Administration _____
4. MicMac Group
Collectif MicMac _____ 43 ☐☐ 46 ☐☐
5. Marven Lake (Wilderness)
Lac Marven (à l'état sauvage) _____
6. Goose River (Wilderness)
Rivière Goose
(à l'état sauvage) _____ 47 ☐☐ 50 ☐☐
7. Chambers Lake (Wilderness)
Lac Chambers (à l'état sauvage) _____
8. Other (specify)
Autre (préciser) _____ 51 ☐☐ 54 ☐☐

55 ☐

COMMERCIAL ROOFED ACCOMMODATIONS
LOGEMENT COMMERCIAL SOUS UN TOIT

9. Motel _____
10. Chalet _____ 56 ☐☐ 59 ☐☐

(CONTINUE WITH SECTION III)

SECTION III (FOR PF - PARK USERS ONLY)

13. WHAT IS YOUR MOTHER TONGUE?
QUELLE EST VOTRE LANGUE MATERNELLE?

1. English 2. French 3. Other [60]

14. WHICH RECREATIONAL FACILITIES/SERVICES DID MEMBERS OF YOUR PARTY USE ON THIS PARTICULAR VISIT? *(DO NOT READ OUT, LET RESPONDENT REPLY AS TO WHICH ONES)*
A QUELLES ACTIVITIES RECREATIVES VOUS OU LES MEMBRES DE VOTRE GROUPE AVEZ-VOUS PARTICIPE AU COURS DE VOTRE VISITE?

1. Picnic ground
Terrain de pique-nique [61]
2. Swimming pool (outdoor)
Piscine (extérieure) [62]
3. Bennett Lake - swimming
Lac Bennett - natation [63]
4. Wolfe Lake Beach - swimming
Plage du Lac Wolfe - natation [64]
5. Golf course
Le terrain de golf [65]
6. Tennis courts
Les courts de tennis [66]
7. Lawn bowling green
Boulingrin [67]
8. Arts & Crafts School
Ecole d'artisanat [68]
9. Boat rental - Bennett Lake
Location d'embarcation - Lac Bennett [69]
10. Fishing area - Wolfe Lake
Aire de pêche - Lac Wolfe [70]
11. Boat rental - Wolfe Lake
Location d'embarcation - Lac Wolfe [71]
12. Fishing area - Bennett Lake
Aire de pêche - Lac Bennett [72]
13. Wilderness camping area - Marven Lake
Aire de terrain de camping à l'etat sauvage - Lac Marven [73]
14. Wilderness camping area - Goose River
Aire de terrain de camping à l'état sauvage - Rivière Goose [74]
15. Childrens' Play area
Terrain de jeu jour les enfants [75]
16. Wilderness camping area - Chambers Lake
Aire de terrain de camping à l'état sauvage - Lac Chambers [76]

UNGUIDED HIKING TRAILS:
SENTIER D'EXCURSION A PIED:

17. Marven Lake
Lac Marven [77]
18. Marven to Rattail
Lac Marven à la barre Rattail [78]
19. Rattail [79]
20. Black Hole [80]
21. Coopermine
Mine de cuivre [81]
22. Goose River
Rivière Goose [82]
23. Matthews Head
Cap Matthews [83]
24. Foster Brook
Ruisseau Foster [84]
25. Herring Cove
Anse Herring [85]
26. Big Dam
Grand Barrage [86]
27. Bennett Brook
Ruisseau Bennett [87]
28. Tracey Lake
Lac Tracey [88]
29. Woodland Trail
Sentiers Woodland [89]
30. Third Vault Falls
Chutes Third Vault [90]
31. Herring Cove to Pt. Wolfe
Anse Herring à la Pte. Wolfe [91]
32. Pt. Wolfe Rd. to Herring Cove
Chemin de la Pte. Wolfe à l'anse Herring [92]
33. East Branch [93]
34. Chignecto Cmpd. to Clubhouse
Terrain de camping Chignectou au chemin de la Pointe Wolfe [94]
35. Chignecto Cmpd. to Point Wolfe Rd.
Terrain de camping Chignectou au chemin de la Pointe Wolfe [95]

15. WHICH INTERPRETIVE FACILITIES DID YOUR PARTY USE/VIEW ON THIS PARTICULAR VISIT? *(DO NOT READ OUT, LET RESPONDENT REPLY AS TO WHICH ONES)*.
A QUELLES ACTIVITES INTERPRETIVES VOUS OU LES MEMBRES DE VOTRE GROUPE AVEZ-VOUS PARTICIPE/VU AU COURS DE VOTRE VISITE?

1. Assembly Hall
Salle de reunion [96]
2. Outdoor Theatre - Headquarters
Théâtre en plein air - Administration [97]
3. Outdoor Theatre - Chignecto South Campground
Théâtre en plein air - Chignectou Sud [98]
4. Fundy Tides Exhibit (Herring Cove)
Les marées de la baie de Fundy (Anse Herring) [99]
5. Tall Trees to Wooden Ships Exhibit (Alma Beach)
Les charpentiers d'Alma (Plage d'Alma) [100]
6. Point Wolfe History Exhibit
Histoire de Pointe Wolfe [101]

SELF-GUIDING TRAILS:
SENTIERS AUTO-GUIDES:

7. East Branch [102]
8. Devil's Half Acre [103]
9. Kinnie Brook
Ruisseau Kinnie [104]
10. Dickson Falls
Chutes Dickson [105]
11. Maple Grove (Shaded Maples)
L'érabliere (A l'ombre des érables) [106]
12. Caribou Plains (Bogs, Bugs & Beasts)
Plaine Caribou (Les marécages et les bêtes qui y vivent) [107]

16. (A) WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING INTERPRETIVE PROGRAMS/EVENTS DID ANY MEMBER OF YOUR PARTY ATTEND WHILE ON THIS PARTICULAR VISIT? *(CIRCLE THE EVENT(S) ATTENDED).*
AUX QUELS DES PROGRAMMES/EVENEMENTS D'INTERPRETATION SUIVANTS ONT ASSISTE LES MEMBRES DE BOTRE GROUPE AU COURS DE CETTE VISITE?

INTERPRETIVE PROGRAM/EVENT: PROGRAMME/EVENEMENTS D'INTERPRETATION:	*(FRENCH PROGRAMS)* 16 (B)	*(IF NO, MARK REASON CODE)* 16 (C)
1. Evening Program Programme durant la soirée	Yes No	____
2. Campfire Feu de camp	Yes No	____
3. Special Event Evènements speciaux	Yes No	____
4. Kidstuff Programme pour les enfants		
GUIDED WALK:/MARCHE GUIDEE:		
5. Caribou Plain (wood walk) Plaine Caribou (marche dans le bois)	Yes No	____
6. Matthews Head Cap Matthews	Yes No	____
7. Alma Beach Walk March su la plage d'Alma	Yes No	____
8. Swimming Pool Beach Walk Marche sur la plage de la piscine		
9. Pt. Wolfe Beach Walk March sur la plage de la Pte. Wolfe		
10. Herring Cove (Tidal Walk) Anse Herring sur la marée (marche portant)	Yes No	____
11. Headquarters Stroll March aux environs de l'administration		
12. Devil's Half Acre La demi-acre du diable		

108 111 112 115 116 119 120 121 124 125 128 129 132 133 136 138 139 140

IF NO INTERPRETIVE PROGRAMS/EVENTS ATTENDED GO TO QUESTION #17.
IF RESPONDENTS MOTHER TONGUE IS ENGLISH AND ATTENDED INTERPRETIVE PROGRAMS/EVENTS GO TO QUESTION #17.
IF MOTHER TONGUE IS FRENCH AND PARTICIPATED IN AN INTERPRETIVE PROGRAMS/EVENTS GO TO QUESTION #16 (B).

16. (B) WERE ANY OF THE INTERPRETIVE PROGRAMS/EVENTS ATTENDED ON THIS PARTICULAR VISIT, CONDUCTED IN FRENCH? *(WHICH ONES?)*
Y A-T-IL EU PARMI LES PROGRAMMES/EVENEMENTS D'INTERPRETATION AUX QUELS VOUS AVEZ ASSISTE AU COURS DE CETTE VISITE, CERTAINS QUI SE SONT DEROULES EN FRANCAIS?

NOTE: *For each interpretive program/event attended as identified in 16 A, circle a Yes or No. For those interpretive programs/events identified as not attended in 16 A, leave corresponding 16 (B) uncircled.*

If No is the response to 16 (B) for any interpretive programs/events attended, go to 16 (C) and get reason and corresponding code value and record in the appropriate line under 16 (C) column above.

16. (C) WHAT IS THE MAIN REASON THAT YOUR PARTY COULD NOT PARTICIPATE IN THE FRENCH VERSION OF *(SUBSITUTE NAME OF EACH EVENT ATTENDED)* *(INDICATE THE NUMBER OF THE REASON FOR NON-ATTENDANCE UNDER 16 (C) CORRESPONDING TO THOSE PROGRAMS/EVENTS WHICH ARE CIRCLED UNDER 16 (B).*
QUELLE EST LA RAISON PRINCIPALE POUR LA QUELLE VOTRE GROUPE N'A PU PARTICIPER A LA VERSION FRANCAISE _____?

1. Did not know program was offered in French
Ne savait pas que le programme était offert en français
2. Not offered during visit
Pas offert durant votre visite
3. Could not find the place of French program
Ne pouvait pas trouver l'endroit du programme en français
4. English version of program/event closer/easier to reach
La version anglaise du programme/evenement plus près/facile à s'y rendre
5. Poor weather
Mauvais temps
6. Other (specify)
Autre (préciser) _____

17 (A) DID YOU OR ANY MEMBER OF YOUR PARTY VISIT THE COMMUNITY OF ALMA DURING THIS VISIT TO FUNDY?
AVEZ-VOUS OU DES MEMBRES DE VOTRE GROUPE VISITE LE VILLAGE D'ALMS PENDANT VOTRE SEJOUR A FUNDY?

1. Yes 2. No

141

17 (B) *(IF YES)* WHAT DID YOU DO WHILE YOU WERE THERE?
INDIQUEZ CE QUE VOUS AVEZ FAIT LORS DE VOTRE VISITE?

1. Grocery shopping
Emplettes à l'épicerie
2. Souvenir/gift shopping
Achat d'artisanat/souvenirs
3. Purchasing gas/oil
Achat d'essence/huile
4. Sightseeing
Randonnée de plaisir
5. Eating in restaurants
Repas
6. Other (specify)
Autre (préciser) _____

142 143 145 148

18. (A) HAS YOUR PARTY VISITED THIS PARK BETWEEN JULY 5, 1982 AND TODAY?
EST-CE QUE VOTRE GROUPE A VISITE CE PARC ENTRE LE 5 JUILLET, 1982 ET AUJOURD'HUI? 149 ☐

1. Yes *(Continue with Question #18B)* 2. No *(Go to Question #19)*

(B) HAS YOUR PARTY COMPLETED AN INTERVIEW IDENTICAL TO THIS ONE BETWEEN JULY 5, 1982 AND TODAY?
EST-CE QUE VOTRE GROUPE A COMPLETE UNE ENTREVUE COMME CELLE-CI ENTRE LE 5 JUILLET, 1982 ET AUJOURD'HUI? 150 ☐

1. Yes *(End survey and thank them)* 2. No *(Go to Question #19)*

SECTION IV

19. WHAT ATTRACTED YOUR PARTY TO VISIT FUNDY NATIONAL PARK?
QU'EST-CE QUI A MOTIVE VOTRE GROUPE A VISITEZ LE PARC NATIONAL DE FUNDY? 151 ☐☐ 152

1. Types of accommodation provided (roofed vs tent)
Les generes d'accommodation disponibles (sous toît vs sous la tente)
2. Recreational facilities (specify)
Les installations récréatives (préciser)
3. Fundy coast (natural feature/environment)
La côle de Fundy (caractéristique naturelle/environnement)
4. It's a National Park
C'est un parc national
5. Interpretive programs (specify)
Programmes d'interprétation (préciser)
6. Its proximity to place of residence
Sa proximité de ma résidence
7. Camping facilities
Les installation pour le camping
8. Children's program - Kidstuff
Les programmes pour les enfants
9. High tides
Les marées hautes
10. The scenery
Le paysage
11. Other (specify)
Autre (préciser) _____

20. HOW WOULD YOU RATE THE FEES CHARGED WITH RESPECT TO FACILITIES AND/OR SERVICES OFFERED IN THIS PARK AGAINST FEES CHARGED FOR SIMILAR FACILITIES AND/OR SERVICES IN SURROUNDING AREA?
COMMENT EVALUERIEZ-VOUS LES FRAIS IMPOSES POUR LES INSTALLATIONS ET/OU LES SERVICES OFFERTS DANS CE PARC EN COMPARISON AUX FRAIS IMPOSES POUR DES INSTALLATIONS ET/OU DES SERVICES SEMBLABLES DANS LES ENVIRONS? 153 ☐

1. More expensive
Plus chers
2. About the same
A peu près les mêmes
3. Less expensive
Moins élevés
4. Don't know
Je ne sais pas
5. Unable to compare
Pas en mesure de comparer

21. GENERALLY HOW WOULD YOU RATE THE QUALITY OF FACILITIES AND/OR SERVICES PROVIDED IN THE PARK AS AGAINST THE QUALITY OF FACILITIES AND/OR SERVICES PROVIDED IN THE SURROUNDING AREA?
EN GENERAL COMMENT EVALUERIEZ-VOUS LA QUALITE DES INSTALLATIONS ET/OU DES SERVICES FOURNIS DANS LE PARC EN COMPARISON A LA QUALITE DES INSTALLATIONS ET/OU DES SERVICES FOURNIS DANS LES ALENTOURS? 154 ☐

1. Better
Mieux
2. About the same
A peu près les mêmes
3. Poorer
Médiocre
4. Don't know
Je ne sais pas
5. Unable to compare
Pas en mesure de comparer

22. (A) HAVE YOU SEEN THE PARK NEWSLETTER?
AVEZ-VOUS VU LE BULLETIN D'INFORMATION DU PARC? 155 ☐

1. Yes *(Go to Question 22B)* 2. No 3. Didn't know about it *(Go to Question #23)*

(B) HAVE YOU READ ANY PART OF IT?
EN AVEZ-VOUS LU UNE PARTIE? 156 ☐

1. Yes *(Go to Question #22C)* 2. No *(Go to Question #23)*

(C) DID YOU LEARN ANYTHING NEW ABOUT THE PARK FROM THIS NEWSLETTER?
AVEZ-VOUS APPRIS QUELQUE CHOSE DE NOUVEAU AU SUJET DU PARC GRACE A CE BULLETIN D'INFORMATION? 157 ☐

1. Yes 2. No

(D) WOULD YOU BE WILLING TO PAY FOR THIS NEWSLETTER?
SERIEZ-VOUS PRETS A PAYER POUR CE BULLETIN D'INFORMATION? 158 ☐

1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know

(E) WOULD YOU SUBSCRIBE TO THIS NEWSLETTER?
VOUS ABONNERIEZ-VOUS A CE BULLETIN D'INFORMATION? 159 ☐

1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know

23. HAS ANY MEMBER(S) OF YOUR PARTY READ THE FOLLOWING INTERPRETIVE PUBLICATION?
Y A-T-IL UN MEMBRE DE VOTRE GROUPE QUI A LU LES PUBLICATIONS D'INTERPRETATION SUIVANTES? 160 ☐ 161 ☐

		YES	NO			YES	NO
A.	Point Wolfe History Histoire de la Pointe Wolfe	1	2	B.	Tides of Fundy Les marées de la baie de Fundy	1	2
C.	From Tall Trees to Wooden Ships Les charpentiers d'Alma	1	2				

162 ☐

24. DO YOU HAVE ANY COMMENTS ABOUT YOUR VISIT TO THE PARK? AURIEZ-VOUS DES COMMENTAIRES QUE VOUS AIMERIEZ AJOUTER A PROPOS DE VOTRE VISITE AU PARC?			

FUNDY NATIONAL PARK 1982
PARC NATIONAL FUNDY 1982

TRAIL USER SURVEY

TRAIL _____ DAY _____ MONTH _____ INTERVIEWER _____

HELLO! MY NAME IS ___ AND WE ARE CONDUCTING A SURVEY OF HIKING TRAIL USERS. WE WOULD APPRECIATE YOUR HELP IN ANSWERING SOME BRIEF QUESTIONS ABOUT YOUR USE OF THIS TRAIL. MAY WE BEGIN?
BONJOUR, JE M'APPELLE ___ ET NOUS MENONS UNE ENQUETTE SUR LES USAGERS DES SENTIERS D'EXCURSION A PIED. NOUS VOUS DEMANDERIONS DE BIEN VOULOIR REPONDRE A QUELQUES QUESTIONS SUR VOTRE USAGE DE CE SENTIER. POUVONS-NOUS COMMENCE?

1. WHAT LANGUAGE WOULD YOU LIKE TO BE INTERVIEWED IN?
DANS QUELLE LANGUE AIMERIEZ-VOUS ETRE INTERVIEWER? 1. English 2. French

2. WHERE IS YOUR PERMANENT RESIDENCE?
OU DEMEUREZ-VOUS DE FACON PERMANENTE?

_____ City/Town/Village _____ Province/State _____ Country

3. (A) INCLUDING YOURSELF, HOW MANY PEOPLE ARE IN YOUR HIKING PARTY?
VOUS-MEME Y COMPRIS, COMBIEN Y A-T-IL DE MEMBRES DANS VOTRE GROUPE D'EXCURSION?
_____ Person(s)

(B) HOW MANY ARE?
COMBIEN Y A-T-IL?
1. Preschool Children (0-5 years)
D'enfants d'âge préscolaire (0-5 ans) _____
2. Children (6-12 years)
D'enfants (6-12 ans) _____
3. Teenagers (13-19 years)
D'adolescents (13-19 ans) _____
4. Adults (20-64 years)
D'adultes (20-64 ans) _____
5. Senior Citizens (65 plus)
De personnes âgées (65 ans et plus) _____

4. WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING BEST DESCRIBES THIS HIKING PARTY?
LEQUEL DES ENONCES SUIVANTS DECRIT LE MIEUX VOTRE SITUATION DE VISITEUR?
1. By Yourself / Seul
2. One couple alone / Un couple sans enfant
3. One family with children / Une famille avec des enfants
4. Two families with children / Deux familles avec des enfants
5. Two couples / Deux couples
6. An organized group / Un groupe organisé
7. A group of friends / Un groupe d'amis
8. Other (specify) / Autre (preciser) _____

5. (A) ARE YOU OR WILL YOU BE STAYING OVERNIGHT IN FUNDY NATIONAL PARK?
PASSEZ-VOUS OU PASSEREZ-VOUS LA NUIT DANS LE PARC NATIONAL DE FUNDY?
1. Yes (Go to 5B) 2. No (Go to 6)

(B) WHICH TYPE(S) OF PARK ACCOMMODATION ARE YOU STAYING IN?
DANS QUEL TYPE(S) D'ACCOMMODATION RESTEZ-VOUS DANS LE PARC?

CAMPGROUNDS:
TERRAINS DE CAMPING:
1. Chignecto / Chignectou
2. Point Wolfe / Pointe Wolfe
3. Headquarters / Administration
4. Mic Mac Group / Collectif Mic Mac
5. Marven Lake (Wilderness) / Lac Marven (à l'état sauvage)
6. Goose River (Wilderness) / Rivière Goose (à l'état sauvage)
7. Chambers Lake (Wilderness) / Lac Chambers (à l'état sauvage)
8. Other (specify) / Autre (preciser) _____

COMMERCIAL ROOFED ACCOMMODATION:
LOGEMENT COMMERCIAL:
9. Motel
10. Chalet/Cabin

6. IS THIS YOUR FIRST VISIT TO FUNDY NATIONAL PARK?
EST-CE VOTRE PREMIERE VISITE AU PARC NATIONAL DE FUNDY? 1. Yes 2. No

7. IS THIS THE FIRST TIME YOU HAVE USED THIS TRAIL?
EST-CE LA PREMIERE EXCURSION A PIED QUE VOUS FAITES SUR CE SENTIER? 1. Yes 2. No

8. WHY HAVE YOU HIKED THIS PARTICULAR TRAIL?
POURQUOI FAITES-VOUS UNE EXCURSION SUR CE SENTIER PLUTOT QUE SUR UN AUTRE?
1. Recommended by other Park visitors / Il m'a été recommandé par d'autres visiteurs du parc
2. Recommended by Park staff / Il m'a été recommandé par le personnel du parc
3. Within walking distance of accommodation / Je peux m'y rendre a pied
4. Provides access to an area we wish to visit / Il conduit à une région que nous voulons visite
5. Read about it in Park literature / Il était mentionné dans la documentation sur le parc
6. Have hiked this trail before / Je le connaissais déjà
7. Like the length of the trail / J'aime sa longueur
8. Other (specify) / Autre (preciser) _____

9. HOW LONG WAS YOUR HIKE ON THIS TRAIL?
DEPUIS COMBIEN DE TEMPS ETRES-VOUS SUR CE SENTIER? _____ Minutes _____ Hours

36 38

10. (A) DID YOU WALK THIS TRAIL TO ITS END?
AVEZ-VOUS PARCOURU CE SENTIER JUSQU'AU BOUT?

1. Yes (*Go to the specific Trail Section*) 2. No (*Go to 10B*)

39

(B) WHAT WAS THE REASON YOU DID NOT WALK THE TRAIL TO THE END?
POUR QUELLE RAISON N'AVEZ-VOUS PAS PARCOURU CE SENTIER JUSQU'AU BOUT?

1. There was nothing interesting to see
Il n'y avait rien d'intéressant à voir
2. It took too much time
Cela aurait pris trop de temps
3. Poor Weather
Mauvais temps
4. Trail was too hard to follow
Le sentier était trop difficile à suivre
5. It was too difficult with children
C'était trop difficile avec des enfants
6. It was too physically demanding
C'était physiquement trop difficile
7. Other reason (*specify*)
Autre raison (*preciser*) _____

40 41

(GO TO THE APPROPRIATE TRAIL SECTION)

DEVIL'S HALF ACRE TRAIL

11. (A) DID YOU READ THE SIGNS ON THIS TRAIL?
AVEZ-VOUS LU LES PANNEAUX LE LONG DE CE SENTIER? 1. Yes 2. No

42

(B) WHICH ONES? (*DO NOT READ OUT TO RESPONDENT*)
LESQUELS?

43

1. Legend of the Devil and the Yorkshire Man
La légende du diable et de l'homme de Yorkshire
2. Geological story of area formation
L'histoire de la formation géologique de l'endroit
3. Both
Les deux

(GO TO QUESTION 15)

COPPERMINE TRAIL - MINE DE CUIVRE

12. (A) DID YOU OBSERVE THE SLAG HEAP (PILE OF STONE RUBBLE) AT THE MINE SITE?
AVEZ-VOUS OBSERVE LE TERRIL (PILE DE ROCHES) AU SITE DE LA MINE?

1. Yes 2. No

44

(B) DID YOU VIEW THE COASTLINE FROM THE VIEWPOINT?
AVEZ-VOUS VU LA COTE DU POINT DE VUE? 1. Yes 2. No

45

(GO TO QUESTION 15)

EAST BRANCH

13. (A) DID YOU READ THE SIGNS ON THE TRAIL?
AVEZ-VOUS LU LES PANNEAUX SUR LE SENTIER? 1. Yes 2. No

46

(IF YES) How many?
Combien? _____

47 48

(B) DID YOU VIEW THE OLD LOG-DRIVING DAM?
AVEZ-VOUS VU LE VIEUX BARRAGE DE LA DRAVE? 1. Yes 2. No

49

(C) DID YOU RETURN ON THE OLD ROAD WAY?
ETES-VOUS RETOURNE PAR LA VIEILLE ROUTE? 1. Yes 2. No

50

(GO TO QUESTION 15)

THIRD VAULT FALLS - DES CHUTES THIRD VAULT

14. (A) DID YOU VIEW THE WATERFALLS?
AVEZ-VOUS OU LES CHUTES? 1. Yes 2. No

51

(IF YES) How high would you estimate it to be?
Quelle hauteur pensez-vous qu'elles ont? _____

52 53

(B) DID YOU VIEW THE OLD LOG-DRIVING DAM AT THE BASE OF THE FALLS?
AVEZ-VOUS VU LE VIEUX BARRAGE DE LA DRAVE AU PIED DES CHUTES? 1. Yes 2. No

54

(GO TO QUESTION 15)

15. HOW WOULD YOU RATE THIS TRAIL?
COMMENT QUALIFIERIEZ-VOUS CE SENTIER?

55

1. Very strenuous
Très dur
2. Strenuous
Dur
3. Comfortable
Normal
4. Easy
Facile

16. (A) DID YOU OBTAIN ANY BACKGROUND INFORMATION ON THIS TRAIL BEFORE BEGINNING THIS HIKE?
AVEZ-VOUS OBTENU DE L'INFORMATION DE BASE SUR CE SENTIER AVANT DE COMMENCER VOTRE EXCURSION?

1. Yes (*Go to 16B*) 2. No (*Go to Question 17*)

(B) WHAT WAS THE PRINCIPAL SOURCE OF THIS BACKGROUND INFORMATION?
QUELLE ETAIT LA SOURCE PRINCIPALE DE CETTE INFORMATION DE BASE?

1. Previous walks on this trail / Excursions antérieures sur ce sentier
2. Other trail users / Autres usagers des sentiers
3. Park staff / Employés du parc
4. Park map / Carte du parc
5. Park hiking trail pamphlet/publications / Brochure/publications sur les sentiers d'excursion du parc
6. Other (*specify*) / Autre (*preciser*) _____

17. (A) HAVE YOU HIKED ANY OTHER TRAILS IN THIS PARK?
AVEZ-VOUS FAIT DES EXCURSIONS SUR D'AUTRES SENTIERS DU PARC?

1. Yes (*Go to 17B*) 2. No (*Go to Question 18*)

(B) WHICH TRAILS HAVE YOU HIKED ON?
QUELS SENTIERS AVEZ-VOUS FAITS?

UNGUIDED HIKING TRAILS:
SENTIERS D'EXCURSION NON-GUIDES:

1. Marven Lake / Lac Marven
2. Marven to Rattail / Lac Marven en direction de la barre Rattail
3. Rattail
4. Goose River / Rivière Goose
5. Coppermine / Mine de cuivre
6. Foster Brook / Ruisseau Foster
7. Matthews Head / Cap Matthews
8. Herring Cove / Anse Herring
9. Pt. Wolfe Rd. to Herring Cove / Chemin de la Pointe Wolfe vers Anse Herring
10. Big Dam / Grand barrage
11. Chignecto Cmpd. to Clubhouse / Terrain de camping Chignectou vers le pavillon de golf
12. Bennett Brook / Ruisseau Bennett
13. East Branch
14. Tracey Lake / Lac Tracey
15. Woodland Trail / Sentiers Woodland
16. Third Vault Falls / Chutes Third Vault
17. Chignecto Cmpd. to Pt. Wolfe Rd. / Terrain de camping Chignectou vers le chemin de la Pointe Wolfe
18. Black Hole
19. Herring Cove to Pt. Wolfe / Anse Herring vers la Pointe Wolfe

SELF-GUIDING TRAILS:
GUIDE DU SENTIER:

20. Kinnie Brook / Ruisseau Kinnie
21. Dickson Falls / Chutes Dickson
22. Maple Grove (Shaded Maples) / L'érablière (à l'ombre des érables)
23. Caribou Plains (Bogs, Bugs, and Beasts) / Plaine Caribou (les marécages et les bêtes qui y vivent)
24. East Branch
25. Devil's Half Acre / La demi-acre du diable

18. WHAT IS YOUR MOTHER TONGUE?
QUELLE EST VOTRE LANGUE MATERNELLE?

1. English 2. French 3. Other

19. DO YOU HAVE ANY COMMENTS ABOUT YOUR HIKE?
AVEZ-VOUS DES COMMENTAIRES A FAIRE AU SUJET DE VOTRE EXCURSION?

_____

_____

_____

THANK YOU FOR YOUR COOPERATION
MERCI DE VOTRE COOPERATION



POOR

QUALITY

ORIGINAL